NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1.8

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Ladies and gentlemen, it's at least 8:30 in the morning and pursuant to notice and statute we're ready to proceed with the meeting of the Arkansas River Compact Administration. Frank Milenski is here. We're delighted to have this outfit decorated by your presence, sir.

MR. MILENSKI: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And both states are here. I'll call at this time on David Pope to introduce the members of the Kansas delegation, as well as some of the Kansas officials who are here. And if you'll go slowly enough so I can write down names I'd appreciate it, and there may be others here that need that help.

MR. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Let me start here at the head table with the members of the administration. On your immediate left is Randy Hayzlett, member of the administration from Kansas. Lola Fox, the other member of the administration in addition to myself. On my immediate left is Mr. John Draper, special legal counsel for the State of

Kansas in the <u>Kansas v. Colorado</u> matter, from Santa Fe, New Mexico. And to his left is Brent Spronk, from Denver, and he's the main consultant. And then Leland Rolfs, my legal counsel from Topeka with the Division of Water Resources.

I might also provide some special introduction, which is really not necessary, but we're happy to see Carl Bentrup in the audience here this morning, and glad that he's able to be with us as an alumni of this distinguished group.

## CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good.

MR. POPE: In addition to that, from our office in Garden City, Mr. Steve Frost, over here on the front row on the left is our Water Commissioner for the Division of Water Resources in Garden City. His assistant, Mark Rude, next to him on the front row. And we also have Dale Jacob, on the staff there in the field office. Dale, hold up your hand there in the back room—back of the room.

I believe, then, I see a few officials and -- and as always the case you probably miss somebody when you try to do this, but I see

## NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Oliver Hines, from the Frontier System. And I almost missed him, David Brenn, who is-- now assumed the helm of the Garden City Company and the-- which manages the Great East-- the Great Eastern Ditch.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: David, would you raise your hand, please?

MR. POPE: David Brenn there in the-so it, has been with the company in the past
but he is-- as I understand it has been
appointed some lofty title, Executive Vice
President, or something of that sort. So we're
glad to have him here in his new capacity. I
believe that takes care of it for those people
I'm able to spot this morning, Mr. Chairman.

much. That's fine. Mr. Walker, would you-David Walker is the head of the Colorado Water
Conservation Board, or Director, and ex
officio, head of the Colorado Delegation.
David, would you try to match that stellar
performance, if you please, in introductions.

MR. WALKER: I'd be glad to, Frank.

To your right is Jim Rogers, representing this area and to whom we owe some gratitude for

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

making the arrangements for the meeting and making sure all proceeds well. To my left is Carl Genova, from Pueblo area. On my right is Dennis Montgomery, special counsel. And from my staff is Steve Miller, who is, henceforth, going to be our staff assigned to the administration.

There are a few in the audience that I should, at my own peril, I guess, introduce. Steve Witte, the Division Engineer. David Robbins, special counsel. And Hal Simpson, Deputy State Engineer.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Thank you. Mr. Draper, you're going to see a meeting conducted like none other— quite none other than you've seen before and with that warning— I want to point out, as I call for the approval of the agenda, that a couple of significant things— there are some items that have been moved on the agenda because of the exigencies of time and the need of some persons to be heard on one or two matters this morning. I've also been told that the State of Colorado plane would depart from the airport here at 3 o'clock this afternoon whether I was through speaking or

1 2

2 1

not. And for two other reasons, I think the meeting will probably progress rapidly. One is that with the attention devoted to the river in the Supreme Court case of Kansas v. Colorado, a good bit of the work of the administration at these annual meetings is either held in abeyance or treated in a tippy-toe manner because that forum seems to have an advantage. And the second reason that things may progress rapidly this morning is the current depleted state of John Martin Reservoir.

Flying in last night from Pueblo-- by way of Pueblo we passed-- flew over the veteran's home at a rate of 200 knots, which is a good bit more than 200 miles an hour, and it seemed like another 10 minutes before we got over water. Preferably there's water lapping at the front door of the veteran's home and I hope next year is-- is a better year for water than this year has been.

Is there any objection to using the agenda as it's been carefully worked out and distributed to all hands? (no response).

Hearing none, we'll use the agenda that's been distributed.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1	MR. WALKER: Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes.
3	MR. WALKER: I have been reminded that
4	I failed in my duties to introduce all the
5	important people. I omitted Bernice Carr.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Where is where
7	is the mysterious Bernice Carr?
.8	MR. WALKER: Right over there.
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good.
10	MR. WALKER: And
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Would you come
12	up, I want to shake your hand. You have been
13	a welcome to our meeting. We're delighted
1 4	you're here.
15	MS. CARR: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good. Thank you
17	for attending.
18	MR. WALKER: It should be noted that
19	she is another one of those behind the scenes
20	that makes all this work.
21	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, I wasn't
22	sure that she was a real person. There was a
23	MR. WALKER: Well, we have a surprise
24	for you, Frank, she does exists.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We're delighted

1	· you're here.
2	MS. CARR: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: How many years
4	have you worked for the compact, do you
5	suppose?
6	MS. CARR: Four. I started in January
7	of '87.
8	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Four that
9	she's been working for us since '87, and I'm so
10	pleased that she's been able to put in an
11	appearance here.
12	The transcript for the December 1990
13	meeting, the reporter's transcript, there have
1 4	been three duplicate originals made. I have
15	one in my hand. One
16	MR. WALKER: Mr. Chairman, Steve is
17	reviewing the document provided by David Pope
18	this morning against the notes that he
19	provided. If we could defer until he has had a
20	chance to look through the document we can
21	dispatch it much easily more easily.
22	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado's first
23	order of business is to mess up the agenda.
24	Okay.
25	MR. WALKER: Well

25

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. We'll
2	proceed to the reports of officers for 1991.
3	My remarks will take place about five minutes
4	at 3 o'clock this afternoon, so we'll defer
5	those for right now. I have nothing further to
6	say. The recording secretary who is the
7	recording secretary?
8	MS. CARR: I am.
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Bernice, do you
10	have anything else that you'd care to say at
11	this time?
12	MS. CARR: I think my report will be
13	given at the budget meeting.
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fine. I'll
15	remind you and virtually all of you are aware
16	that we will try to do our housekeeping
17	activities at the end of the meeting so that
18	those of you who have more important duties to
19	attend or miles to drive can make your escape.
20	Now, the treasurer the report of the
21	treasurer.
22	MR. ROGERS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Rogers.
24	MR. ROGERS: I passed out the audit

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

report which covers from 1990, June to 1991

1	July 1. That covers everything that was done
2	up until then. I also passed out to the
3	members a page with all of the checks that was
4	written since 19 I mean, July 1991. We have
5	in the bank at this time \$4,320.11. Total
6	expenses paid since July 1, up through to date,
7	including the checks we will mail out today,
8	total \$14,870.09. And you'll find all that
9	documented in these papers that I've handed
10	out.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Did you
12	previously distribute the checks that were
13	written up to July 10th of 1991 or a list of
14	them?
15	MS. CARR: They are previous to
16	when?
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Previous to this
18	report which starts in July of 1991.
19	MS. CARR: I did not list them.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay.
21	MR. WALKER: They were covered under
22	the budget and everything come out according
23	to
24	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fair enough.
25	MR. WALKER: What the budget had.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 60612

1	CHAIRMAN COOLET: David Pope, 1
2	would be ready to proceed and approve the
3	report of the of the treasurer with the
4	understanding that with this new material that
5	if we have any questions we can go over it when
6	we do the when we do the budgeting process
7	this afternoon. Does that make sense to you?
8	MR. POPE: That's acceptable to me,
9	Mr. Chairman. Are you expecting action on the
10	audit or the treasurer's report, or is that all
11	inclusive?
1 2	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Oh, I think I'd
13	kind of like one resolution with both of them
1 4	in it, a double barreled sort of a thing. Are
15	we loud enough for you, all of us?
16	THE REPORTER: Yes.
17	MR. POPE: I move the approval of the
18	auditor's report that has been distributed here
19	this morning.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right. It's
21	been moved by David Pope that we approve the
22	audit and the report of the treasurer this
23	morning. Is there a second?
24	MR. GENOVA: I'll second.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. **CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS** 

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE TOPEKA, KS. 68612

1	regularly seconded. Kansas?
2	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye".
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Kansas
4	votes,"aye". Colorado?
5	MR. WALKER: "Aye".
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado votes
7	"aye". You've done very well.
8	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, just as a
9	matter of now knowing some of the things that
0	are colleagues from Colorado have gone through,
1	I think it would be appropriate if we identify
. 2	these items as attachments. My suggestion is
l 3	that the agenda be labeled A, and that the
l <b>4</b>	audit report be labeled B.
1.5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. The
16	agenda to this meeting will be attached to the
L 7	minutes and labeled A. The cash balance
8 8	financial statements of Mr. Rogers, dated June
١9	30th, 1991, will be labeled B. The statement
0 5	of cash receipts from July to December 9th will
21	be labeled Exhibit C.
2 2	I understand that there has been a meeting
23	of the operations committee. Is there a report
2 4	from the operations secretary?

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

Yes, Mr. Chairman.

MR. WITTE:

25

Good

morning.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WITTE: I had intended my report this morning to be certainly an abbreviated version of my report to the operations committee, delivered last evening. certainly an abbreviated version of my full report, which I believe has been circulated to the administration members for their review prior to this meeting. And I had hoped to just touch on some of the highlights of the operations conducted during the past year in the performance of my duties as operations secretary. In the period April 1st to April 15th last year, there was 29,917 acre feet distributed into the accounts, pursuant to the 1980 operating agreement. 40 percent of which went into the Kansas account, and 60 percent of which went into the Colorado accounts.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good morning.

I also wanted to draw your attention to the fact that in 1991 there was a request made by the City of Lamar to utilize the facility at John Martin Reservoir to regulate releases of Fryingpan project water, and that request was received by me on June 11th. Pursuant to

previous instructions from the administration, notice was given and a two week notice period was allowed. There were no objections to that request and so the operation was executed ultimately through the release of 788 acre feet of water temporarily retained in John Martin Reservoir to the City of Lamar by July 31st of last year. Kansas requested a run of 400 cubic feet per second as of June 21st, and that operation continued until July 13th over which period a quantity of 17,000 plus acre feet were released from the Kansas account.

Also during that interval there was a summer storage event that occurred. It was a fairly small event and I think ultimately something on the order of 5,600 acre feet of compact waters were captured. Also during that same time period there was water added to the permanent pool in John Martin Reservoir. A very small quantity 78 acre feet—78.62 acre feet by our computations under the auspices of water rights held by the Division of Wildlife, commonly referred to as the Muddy Creek Rights and pursuant to previous resolution of this administration of August 14th, 1976.

2.4

As of the end of the compact year, total contents of John Martin Reservoir was 8,386.58 acre feet of which the permanent pool contained 2,767.75 acre feet. Those being some of the highlights of the year. As I mentioned, I have submitted my full report to the operations committee last evening and have been given some assurance that they intend to recommend acceptance by the full administration perhaps later in the agenda this morning. Mr.

Chairman, you've made some expression of hope that next year will be a better water year than this and—

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah, I was troubled by your characterization of your excellent report as showing the highlights with 8,000 acre feet in John Martin. And that was troubling, but I hope you continue and tell us that there's going to be more water next year.

MR. WITTE: Actually at the present time there is more water than was in the reservoir at the end of the compact year, and I will offer to you that at least as of December 8th, the contents of John Martin was somewhat in excess of 24,000 acre feet. But our friends

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

at the Soil Conservation Service have provided us with some additional hope. As of December 1st, they're reporting that the snow pack for the Arkansas Basin is 266 percent above normal. So we can all hang to that hope for the coming year. I guess that concludes my oral report, unless there are questions from the administration that I'd be happy to entertain.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I think we all ought to keep in mind that when Bob Jesse held this position that the next 20 minutes of the meeting were among the most fun of the year to put Bob through the hoops and over the fences and find out what all was going on. Steve doesn't somehow invite the kind of tomatoes that were so much fun to--

MR. WITTE: I've been told Mr. Jesse is in the audience.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah, I think he is, but he's not going to help you, Steve. Are there any a questions of Steve Witte? It's an excellent time to get caught up on anything that's of interest to you along the scandals—whatever else, along the Arkansas Valley. Do

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1	you have any do any of you have any
2	questions?
3	MR. POPE: Just Mr. Chairman, just a
4	quick
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Pope?
6	MR. POPE: Just a quick question.
7	Steve, just roughly with the distribution of
8	the storage that has occurred up to date in the
9	compact year as it relates to, say, Article
10	III, Water Versus Compact Water and Volume to
11	Date?
12	MR. WITTE: In this compact year,
13	sir?
14	MR. POPE: (No response).
15	MR. WITTE: My preliminary accounting
16	shows that at the present time of the 24,000
17	acre feet, that I referenced earlier, that
18	12,384 acre feet are contained within the
19	winter compact water holding account in the
20	accounting system that I think you're somewhat
21	familiar with. And that 3,761 acre feet are
22	within the winter water account which we use as
23	the holding account for Article III waters
24	prior to distribution.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I have a

3.

question that probably half the audience could
straighten me out on, but the so called
permanent pool water would be that Division of
Wildlife account that can go up to 10,000 acre
feet. It seems to me, and I want you to tell
me if I'm right, that it doesn't require John
Martin to spill for that account to be
replenished. Any I have the idea that any
water that the Division of Wildlife can acquire
legally lawfully can replenish that account
up to 10,000 feet. Do you know of any plans of
the division or efforts on the division to
replenish that account, which we've seen gone
down as all the other accounts have?

MR. WITTE: Before I answer the real question part--

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah.

MR. WITTE: The research that I've done has indicated that this administration has passed two resolutions previously. One was the resolution of August '76, that I referenced earlier, specifically dealt with the Muddy Creek Rights and also created the permanent pool and provided that such other waters may be stored as the administration subsequently

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 88612

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approves. And there was one subsequent resolution, I believe it was passed by this body in 1980, which was to the effect that any waters that originated on the west slope--Colorado River waters that originated on the west slope was an approved source of water for replenishment of the permanent pool. And so to my knowledge, at the present time those are the only two sources of water that have been approved for the purposes of replenishing that permanent pool. That -- insofar as plans, I know that there-- there is an ongoing pointed interest in trying to replenish that pool in whatever manner is possible and permissible. And that there are some ongoing investigations occurring with the end in mind of accomplishing just that. I had understood that perhaps Mr. Walker was going to make a-- make some presentation on that later on in the meeting and perhaps he could speak to that more fully. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Now, one other question, the only-- the only thing that diminishes or depletes that pool is evaporation. Is that not correct?

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

WITTE: That is correct.

MR.

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: David, would you
2	care to respond now, or would you prefer to do
3	it at some other point in the meeting?
4	MR. WALKER: Since I'm going to ask
5	someone else to do it why don't we do it right
6	now and
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fine.
8	Wonderful.
9	MR. WALKER: I'd like to have Hal
10	Simpson comment on what activity Colorado is
l 1	pursuing.
12	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Don't think
13	you're off the hook, Steve.
1 4	MR. WITTE: I was just yielding the
15	floor for the moment.
16	MR. SIMPSON: Mr. Chairman, Ken
17	Salazar is the director of the Department of
18	Natural Resources and established a task force
19	of departmental agencies with the State
2 0	Engineer and offices in charge of evaluating
21	various water resource options to replace the
22	permanent pool. It may be purchase of water
23	rights. It may be trade of existing rights
2 4	owned by the Division of Wildlife. It may be
2 =	the leade of transcentinental water. But the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE.

TOPEKA, KS. 66612

permanent pool is down to 2,700 acre feet and we're quite concerned about getting it back to 10,000 acre feet. Once we make some decisions, which I would expect to occur in the near future, we'll probably brief the administration at the next meeting, if not sooner. Some of these may require administration approval and of course storage in the permanent pool. we are acting on it as swiftly as possible because of the potential loss of the fish resource in that reservoir if we don't get a good runoff next year.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Let me pose a hypothetical to you. If the opportunity came for you to acquire through any of those sources 7,00 acre feet you don't foresee that additional action of the compact administration would be necessary, do you? Are there any circumstances under which you would feel that you had to get approval of the compact administration in order to put that in John Martin?

MR. SIMPSON: If it involved use of an existing direct flow water right, let's say a ditch below John Martin, for even one below I

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1 believe it would take Water Court approval and 2 probably compact administration approval. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: But the lease of 3 4 foreign water-- or non-native water? 5 MR. SIMPSON: It probably would not. We could move that in now under the present 6 7 approval of the administration. If we have a 8 good runoff season that's likely how we would 9 get it back to 10,000, but to maintain then the 10 10,000 we're looking at purchasing or trading for a permanent water right to maintain the 11 12 permanent pool. 13 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'm immensely pleased by your remarks and kind of glad I got 14 curious on this subject. And I thank you, 15 sir. Are there any questions of Hal on this 16 -17 subject at this time? 18 MR. POPE: None. 19 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Anybody in the 20 audience have any questions? (no response). Steve is back on his feet ready for another 21 Are there any other questions of Mr. 22 round. Yes, Carl? 23 Witte? 24 MR. GENOVA: Steve, I noticed that

25

storage -- winter storage in Pueblo is somewhat

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

behind last year in spite of all the snow we've had. Is that running true all down-- all through the basin, or are storage accumulations in John Martin and other upstream storages behind also. I asked Steve if the-- in spite of all the snow that we've had it seems that upstream storage and winter storage program is-- the amounts are down. And I was just wondering if that was running that way all the way downstream.

Carl, I have not made a MR. WITTE: comparison between storage in John Martin, as of this point in time, in this year versus last year at this time to really be able to answer that question. I think that the-- that your perception of the upstream storage being below last year may be somewhat affected by the fact that in this year that there has been some storage upstream of Pueblo Reservoir that has been active early on, that was not active last So that may have-- may have influenced year. the amount of water storage Pueblo has in the reservoir. I think generally that -- though, that the colder temperatures that we've had along with that snow, we really haven't had a

melt yet, has caused the yield of the basin to 1 be somewhat below last year. MR. GENOVA: Well, I seen all the snow 3 and I thought, boy, we're really going to have 4 some amounts stored here. I was a little 5 6 disappointed when I looked at the amounts. 7 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's really quite skiable, though. 8 9 MR. GENOVA: Yeah, I guess so. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Any other 10 questions of Steve? (No response) Thank you 11 12 very much. MR. WITTE: You're most welcome. 13 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We'll turn to 14 committee reports for the compact year, 1991. 15 I know of no meeting of the administrative and 16 legal committee. Was there one? I see blank 17 The engineering committee? No meeting 18 stares. 19 of the engineering committee. The operations 20 committee did meet. Is there anything that 21 should be added from the committee to the 22 report of Steve, other than perhaps approving 23 the reports that he circulated. 24 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman?

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes, Mr. Rogers.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 60612

25

1	MR. ROGERS: We did have a meeting and
2	listened to his full report and we do recommend
3	approving it as a committee.
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And as Chairman
5	you do so move, I take it?
6	MR. ROGERS: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is there a
8	second?
9	MR. HAYZLETT: I second.
10	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been
11	seconded. Colorado?
12	MR. WALKER: Votes "aye".
13	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado votes
14	"aye". Kansas?
15	MR. POPE: Votes "aye".
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Votes "aye".
17	That was a very good committee report, Mr.
18	Rogers.
19	MR. ROGERS: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The next item on
21	the agenda to accommodate Mr. MacDougall, who
22	has been successful every time he's been before
23	this compact administration. The only
24	qualification is he has to introduce his
25	associate. The next item on the agenda is the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

request of Purgatoire River Water Conservancy
District. Mr. MacDougall?

MR. MACDOUGALL: Mr. Chairman, my name is Sandy MacDougall. I'm a lawyer from Colorado Springs, Colorado. I represent the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District. I'm delighted this morning to introduce my new associate Ms. Julianne Cruise, who has been working with me since last August. She's a water lawyer. She's younger, smarter, and better looking, and they told me those were all the qualifications that were needed to hire an associate. I also would like to introduce this morning some members -- people from Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District. immediate right is Thelma Lujan, our present coordinator. Sitting immediately behind her is Erma Evans, a new board member of the Purgatoire District. Next to Mrs. Lujan is Mr. Reuben Gutierrez, a board member. And to my left is Mr. Ray Duran, a board member. I don't think any other board members have come. Immediately behind Mr. Duran is Danny Marques, the water commissioner from Trinidad, to whom I will address any technical questions about how

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 60612

much water we've had or got, or whatever else you want to know.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good morning ladies and gentlemen and Danny.

MR. MACDOUGALL: Mr. Chairman, as is indicated by my letter to you dated November 1, 1991, I appear before you again to request the review and approval of the compact administration of the proposed amended operating principles that were previously presented in the years 1989 and 1990. And there has been no change to the proposal to this date from that date.

I would like to point out to the administration that in December of 1984 you passed a resolution asking the Bureau of Reclamation to perform it's duty under Kansas Condition 4. It then initiated a review and for three years Colorado, Kansas, the Bureau, the district, and to some extent the corps labored mightily and produced this two inch thick volume called the "Review of Operating Principles Final Report." That was tendered to this administration at its December meeting in 1988, at which time the administration received

. 22

the report and determined that it would look at it.

Following that, the Bureau and the district agreed on proposed amended principles in the fall of 1989, and those principles were tendered to the governor of Kansas, to the water court, and to this administration. Since that date-- well, during that time frame, of course, Kansas v. Colorado was filed and Kansas v. Colorado is still pending.

At the first presentation of the proposed amended operating principles in 1989 it was Kansas' position, through Mr. Simms, that the question involved in the operating principles was before the United States Supreme Court and the chair ruled that the compact would not act on it. At the 1990 meeting the representatives from Colorado proposed two resolutions, both of which Kansas declined to vote on.

Based upon that history, I would again like to ask the chair's consent that the record contain the items set forth in my letter to the chair dated November 8th, 1990. I sent a copy of this to all the members of the compact as well as the lawyers and administrators for both

states. And it lists the things which I wish 1 to have in the record on behalf of the 2 Purgatoire District. I'll read those if the 3 chair wishes or hand a copy of my letter to the 4 5 reporter if that's quicker. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Stand at ease 6 7 for just a minute, please. There are a multitude of -- a multitude of questions that 8 Mr. MacDougall has raised. I think the 9 simplest question - and I'm going to ask for 10 help from Mr. Draper and Mr. Robbins on this 11 12 one - is whether it's necessary that the letter of November 8th be appended to the minutes of 13 the evening (sic). Mr. Draper, do you have any 14 15 comments on that single question? MR. DRAPER: Mr. Chairman, we don't 16 have any objection to that being appended to 17 the minutes of the meeting. 18 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Robbins? 19 20 MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Montgomery can 21 respond. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Dennis? 22 MR. MONTGOMERY: We have no objection 23

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

either.

24

25

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, without

1	objection it seems just as easy for now that
2	the letter of November 8th be appended to the
3	minutes as Exhibit D, and I'll surrender my
4	ribbon original copy on the presumption that
5	someone has a working xerox machine.
6	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, just as a
7	matter of clarification, I think Mr. MacDougall
8	referred to that as November 8th, 1990. I take
9	it you're referring to November 8th, 1991.
10	MR. MACDOUGALL: Yes, I am, Mr. Pope.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. POPE: The recent letter that is
13	one in the same will be Exhibit D.
1 4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You're going to
15	have to be a lot more careful.
16	MR. MACDOUGALL: I keep forgetting
17	what year it is.
18	MR. POPE: Likewise.
19	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The now, we've
20	taken care of that matter. Let me ask another
21	question in the preliminary sense, an innocent
22	question. Mr. Montgomery and David, I take it
23	that substantially Colorado's position would be
	i

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

the same this year as it was last year with

respect to the Purgatoire's request.

24

25

MR. WALKER: Why don't you address that, counsel.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Informally, now.

MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cooley, I think
we would be interested in knowing what the
Kansas position is. I think the position taken
two years ago in 1989 was that this was an
issue which was not appropriate to place on the
agenda of the meeting of the compact
administration. Last year two resolutions were
submitted, but Kansas did not vote on those.
So I think it would be more helpful to find out
what the Kansas position is at this time.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'll --

MR. MONTGOMERY: And, Mr. Cooley, I might add one more thing. It might move this along a little faster. If— there is also a proposal by the City of Trinidad to amend the operating principles that was submitted at the compact administration meeting last year. I think that presents probably a less controversial issue and perhaps if that were taken up first we— the Kansas position might become more clear.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Harrison, is

¥

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

that possible?

MR. HARRISON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Now, in short that -- that the Trinidad proposals are somewhat less controversial in your view than those of the Purgatoire. Is that correct?

MR. HARRISON: Mr. Cooley, David Harrison for the City of Trinidad. correct, we think the Trinidad proposal is completely uncontroversial. This just changes consumptive use of project water from irrigation to allow either municipal and industrial or permanent pool make-up on the I think that the one consumptive use basis. thing that's been clear all long, and I assert to be the case, is that the issues in the Trinidad change are in no way related to any of the issues that are before the United States Supreme Court or that are involved in other aspects of the operating principles review.

Thank you. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Please stay there. hear you.

MR. MACDOUGALL: Does that mean I have to leave?

> CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, no,

ł	
	there. Now, Mr. Draper and Mr. Pope, I'm
	trying to ask these questions informally
	towards agenda rather than questions of
	substances. Would there do you perceive any
	significant benefit to moving the city's part
	on the agenda ahead of the district's at this
	time, do you suggest that that might clear some
	questions made?
	MR. POPE: No, I don't think that that
	is helpful, and I think we would rather proceed
	with the agenda items as separate issues, you
	know
	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fair enough.
	MR. POPE: I think we can consider it.
	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fair enough.
	Thank you. In that case, we'll be governed by
	these suggestions. Okay, back to you Mr.
Ì	MacDougall. The I take it that at the least
	you desire to make a record before the compact
	administration.
	MR. MACDOUGALL: You're correct, Mr.
ļ	Cooley And to avoid horing the administration

MR. MACDOUGALL: You're correct, Mr.

Cooley. And to avoid boring the administration members and the audience, I am prepared to submit it on the record, to which we have made in previous years. If someone wishes to go

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 86612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

through the items that are set forth or to have any further details flushed out, I would be delighted to do so. However, I am sure that both Kansas and Colorado have reviewed the Bureau's final report. I believe it to be an exhibit in the United States Supreme Court case on which, I think, the witnesses from both sides have opinions. I also know that everyone has reviewed the proposed principles, at least read them, so I don't want to bore anybody or go through a bunch of machine writing. I'd love to try to change Mr. Draper's mind, he's new to the job so--

there's a couple of things that I want to point out. Me being confused and all. We will want on the record this morning a succinct summary of your letter. And the second assumption is that everyone has read and digested your long letter, and that may or may not be so. With that in mind, I request you to make a terse summary of what it is you have proposed without going into the documents themselves or the language itself.

MR. MACDOUGALL: All right, sir. In

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Bureau's final report, distributed in 1988, there were conclusions numbered A through M and recommendations numbered A through I. I believe that the recommendations numbered A through I are fairly noncontroversial, with the exceptions of recommendations A and B.

Recommendation A provided that the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District would discontinue the practice of transferring water from the Model storage right to the joint use pool at the end of the water year until such time as the operating principles are amended to recognize this practice. Shorthanded, this practice is the one that Mr. Spronk has nicknamed "Rollover". It is an administrative transfer of water from one account to another much as is done in John It's not the same as John Martin, but Martin. it's the same kind of a paper transfer. Bureau recommended that it be discontinued -the state engineer in 1988 ordered that it be discontinued until the operating principles are amended to recognize the practice.

After its studies, the Bureau and the district agreed in proposed amended operating

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

principles that the practice could be allowed so long as gross water in storage in the joint use or silting control pool did not exceed 19,500 acre feet, which is half of its original capacity.

The second recommendation by the Bureau, B, which I believe to be controversial, is to discontinue the storage of winter water under any right other than the Model storage right until such time as the operating principles are amended to recognize this practice. What the Bureau means by that is not to discontinue the storage under the priority dates of the rights, but instead to limit all water in storage during any one water year, or gage year, depending on what you use, to 20,000 acre That's a mirror reflection of the 1965 feet. studies which the Bureau made. When they made it they did not store any water above 20,000 acre feet in a water year except at times when John Martin was reasonably expected to spill, at which time they allowed the reservoir to store an additional 39,000 acre feet in the joint use facility control basin. That's the other -- a condition -- a recommendation, rather

which I believe to be controversial.

The Bureau, in its studies, determined that that particular practice would not harm downstream users, nor would it diminish the flow of water into the John Martin reservoir as compared with its previous studies. You will recall the Bureau previously studied a time frame, which I believe was 1925 to 19-- well, I'm going to tell you '53, but I'm not sure.

MR. MONTGOMERY: Seven.

MR. MACDOUGALL: '57. Thank you,

Dennis. And they ran a computer model against
their previous study. In other words, they
replicated their previous hand done, computer
done study, put it into a digital model and
then juggled the numbers to determine what
would occur if winter water was stored under
the direct flow rights outside the 20,000 acre
foot pool. And determined, in my opinion —
although I'm not so sure in Mr. Spronk's
opinions — that the inflow to John Martin
Reservoir was not diminished beyond that shown
by the pre-project studies.

Those are the two major changes that were made and that I believe to be controversial.

Other changes have been made in the proposed operating principles to meet the requirements of the Bureau. Requirement C of the Bureau is merely that the Purgatoire District, Kansas, Colorado, and the Bureau work together constructively to amend the principles. And I concur in that, and I suspect everyone concurs in that.

Exhibit D is a housekeeping measure to amend the operating principles to permit irrigation of class 6-W lands, which is now allowed by the law, and I don't think there's very much problem with that.

Exhibit E is to amend the operating principles to reduce maximum irrigated acreage to 19,439 acres. Under the present principles we can irrigate 19,717 acres. This is a reduction in irrigated acreage and should help downstreamers. We-- the district has agreed to that.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: This is the 300 acres that have been beaten to death by water lawyers? How many acres difference?

MR. MACDOUGALL: I'm not sure which 300 acres this is, but it is 300 acres of

## NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1 reduction. Yes, sir. It's 300 acres for which 2 we never acquired the water rights. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: There are 300 3 4 acres out there for which the accrued hourly 5 increment of attorney's fees and meetings in two states, would have paid for 10 times over, 6 7 but keep on. MR. MACDOUGALL: Yes. And also under 8 it we fought about even less than that. 9 10 Exhibit F is the proposed amendments of the operating principles be submitted to the 11 State of Kansas for review and approval. 12 have done so by -- the Bureau did so in 1989. 13 Implement procedures for positive verification 14 15 that no more than the maximum irrigated acreage 16 is actually irrigated. The district has proposed procedures since 1988. I am unaware 17 of any change in the responses of either 18 19 Colorado, the Bureau, or Kansas. 20 Item H is develope and implement 21 procedures for limiting the diversions of the ideal irrigation requirement. Procedures have 2.2 23 been developed, they are not now implemented. Exhibit I, if storage of floodflows in 24

25

flood ranges outside those specified in the

1 Corps' Flood Control Manual is continued, develop a specified criteria. I believe the 2 State of Colorado, through the state engineer, 3 has developed additional criteria and those criteria are now in place. 5 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. 6 MR. MACDOUGALL: So recommendations C 7 through I are fairly noncontroversial. 8 and B that we're fussing about, I think. 9 it's A and B which are reported mostly in the 10 forepart of this report. 11 What is CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. 12 the minimum language of a-- of a preliminary 13 resolution to get this matter moving as you 14 That the compact administration 15 perceive it? 16 adopt the amended operating principles, or something less? 17 My absolute highest MR. MACDOUGALL: 18 mark on the wishlist would be that the compact 19 administration has reviewed and does approve. 20 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Is there 21

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Is there some other -- in 15 words or less, is there some other lesser goal that could be taken by the compact administration to-- this morning?

MR. MACDOUGALL: I guess your asking

25

22

23

24

[
me if I'm willing to have it be studied, and
under certain conditions, the answer is yes.
CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. That's
fine.
MR. MACDOUGALL: If someone wants to
study the report further, if they follow the
conditions that the Bureau followed, yes.
CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'm trying to
achieve a lowest common denominator. I think
where we are this morning, Mr. Pope, that I'll
call for a motion from the State of Colorado.
MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cooley, I think
CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Montgomery.
. MR. MONTGOMERY: I understand your
desire to move this along, but I think we still
need a response from Kansas as to what their
response is to the proposed amendments to the
operating principles. As I indicated, in 1989,
Kansas took the position that it was not
appropriate for this item to be on the agenda.
And I think it's incumbent on the State of
the Kansas representatives to indicate whether
they are prepared to consider the proposed
amendments that have been submitted by the
Purgatoire District.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, if there is something on the table, I'm willing to ask the question of Kansas. Whether they respond or not is up to them, but--

MR. MONTGOMERY: Well, there is something on the table and that's the agenda item and I think the first item is to find out whether Kansas thinks it's appropriate for this item to be on the agenda.

not a fan of Mr. Roberts and I don't use it as bedtime reading I'm-- but at the same time we can be flexible as to any method of procedure you desire. Mr. Pope, it might be-- now might be as good a time as five minutes from now for you to determine what response, if any, you desire to make on this issue.

MR. POPE: Well, Mr. Chairman, Mr.

MacDougall as appearing here before the

administration has indicated seeking review and

approval, I believe were your words, of the

proposed operating principles. Kansas

delegation is prepared this morning to consider

the proposed action by the State of Colorado to

approve or not approve, as the case may be, the

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

•	proposar: we chink it a appropriate, as you
2	indicated a minute ago, for the delegation from
3	the State of Colorado to make any motion of
4	that nature they that's proper and we believe
5	that's where the matter is at the moment.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I think Mr. Pope
7	agrees with me, Mr. Montgomery. It would be
8	appropriate in my view that if Kansas that
9	Colorado put something on the table in the
10	nature of a motion.
11	MR. WALKER: Mr. Cooley, for strategy
12	as well as biological reasons, I would like to
13	ask for a brief recess.
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, we'll
15	recognize the biological reasons first and the
16	strategy second. I have about 37 after the
17	hour, let's see if we can reconvene by 10
18	minutes before the hour, longer if necessary.
19	MR. WALKER: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The meeting is
21	in recess.
22	(THEREUPON, a short recess was
23	had).
24	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'll call the
25	meeting back to order. I seem to be bearing

1 2

myself and that's terrible.

MR. WALKER: A little feedback.

the loudest one of the bunch we'll be okay.

We've had a little trouble with some of the audience not being able to hear people from either end of the table. The podium is a very large outfit that I think absorbs sound. If any of you don't hear in the next 15 minutes, raise your hands and it will take us maybe three minutes to rearrange the chairs, to do something, so that everybody can hear. As I said, we're back in session. David, do you have—do you have something to say.

MR. WALKER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We have a resolution to offer. We have provided a copy to Kansas. And we are offering this with the condition and understanding that Kansas believes it is now appropriate to consider this issue. And we offer it in that respect.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. I take it that the resolution should be identified as Exhibit E, and you indicated it's lengthy to read into the record, but I'm sure that you or Dennis would be able to summarize it or read

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

·10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the key operating sentence for us.

MR. WALKER: Yes, I can read-- Mr. Chairman, the initial pages are background of-clarifying the issue. The thrust of the resolution I will read. In order to make this finding, the compact -- well, let me back up to the "Now, therefore, the Compact Administration approves the proposed amendments if the operation of the Trinidad Project in accordance with the proposed amendments will not materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 to 1957 as determined in the Bureau of Reclamation's prior studies."

Next paragraph, "In order to make this finding, the Compact Administration directs the Engineering Committee to determine whether the proposed amendments will materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 through 1957 as determined in the Bureau of Reclamation's prior studies and to report back to the Compact Administration within a period of 60 days on its findings. If the

1	Engineering Committee cannot agree upon
2	findings, the Committee members shall submit
3	individual reports on their findings within a
4	period of 75 days."
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Walker,
6	before I ask for a second. If I heard the
7	first part of the "Now, therefore" properly,
8	the approval was a in sum a conditional
9	approval so that it would be it could be
10	determined if there were material depletions.
11	Is that correct?
12	MR. WALKER: Yes, it provides for
13	finding with respect to the depletion
14	material depletion of the usable inflows.
15	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Thank you for
16	helping out. Is there a Mr. Pope, may we
17	have either a second or a discussion of the
18	resolution which was presented by Colorado.
19	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I think the
20	proper procedure I took it from your comment
21	a minute ago that David Walker's comments were
22	considered as a motion.
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I so considered
24	them.

MR. POPE: I think it's proper for us

1	to have a second. I think that should occur
2	before discussion.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is there a
4	second?
5	MR. POPE: I think it's proper for the
6	appropriate state to if it has the capability
7	of of getting it on the table in that manner.
8	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'm asking I
9	think, Mr. Pope, I'm asking for a second to the
10	resolution from Kansas in order that the matter
11	be on the table and open for discussion.
12	MR. POPE: Well, Mr. Chairman, under
13	the procedures we follow in this
14	administration, a second can be made by a
15	second member of the same delegation.
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fine. For
17	purposes of let's go on, may I have a second?
18	MR. GENOVA: I'll second that.
19	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been
20	seconded. And now it's open for discussion,
21	and it would be appropriate, Mr. Pope, for you
22	to comment.
23	MR. POPE: Again, Mr. Chairman, I
24	agree that it's now appropriate for discussion
25	and questions on the motion. I believe under

1	proper procedures it would be good to hear from
2	the state proposing the resolution and ask for
3	any comments from Colorado on the resolution.
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Sure. I suppose
5	it speaks for itself, but can we have some
6	amplification or elucidation? Mr. Montgomery?
7	MR. MONTGOMERY: Let me offer these
8	comments. It seems to me
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Please stop.
10	Can all of you back in the back corner hear Mr.
11	Montgomery? Yeah, they're nodding their heads
12	that they can hear you. Are any of you having
13	any trouble hearing over there?
14	MR. WITTE: Behind this podium.
15	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The podium I
16	think is the is what's causing the mischief.
17	MR. MACDOUGALL: Probably my belly.
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, first the
19	podium and then your belly.
20	(THEREUPON, an off-the-record
21	discussion was had).
22	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes, Mr.
23	Montgomery.
24	MR. MONTGOMERY: The Bureau of
25	Reclamation, during it's review of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operating principles over the period 1985 through 1988, developed a computer model to determine the effects of the Trinidad Project, and I believe an enormous amount of time and effort was spent in developing that computer model and also in doing additional runs of the computer model to determine what certain changes to the operating principles would have on the inflow to John Martin reservoir. believe the proposed amendments, which have been submitted by the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District, are based on certain runs which the Bureau of Reclamation did. effects of those runs, I believe, are available to both states and, I believe, are contained in the final report or if not, have at least been provided to both states. So I don't think there's any disagreement on what the effects of these proposed amendments would be on the inflow to John Martin Reservoir. been before the compact administration for two I think they're based on a significant amount of study, and -- I don't think I have anything further that I would say concerning the proposed amendments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Bentrup,

it-- as you know, it's been my position for a long time, although I'm not supposed to have any position, that the problems with respect to Trinidad Reservoir and the Purgatoire were disproportionately affecting the operation of the compact administration and that when the state engineer of Colorado eschewed the use of the Model reservoir decree twice in one year that much of this controversy should go away. and it's been my belief that these matters -- or these positions were substantially in accord with the positions that you articulated over a number of years on behalf of Kansas. I would--I would seek to dispose of or to ameliorate any problems on the Arkansas River that could readily be ameliorated or that might be at issue longer than they deserve to be at issue. And I must say that often times, based on conversations with you, that these appear to be-- or arguably are where we are with some of

MR. BENTRUP: Well, I'm not a member

the Trinidad problems. May I -- may we hear

of the compact. I will say that the

from you for a minute or two?

controversy was started when the Conservancy
District rolled water over into the adjoining
pool which was strictly against the operating
principles of the Kansas agreement. And I
think the Bureau says there was no provision in
the operating principles for rollover. And
that was my opposition to this thing from the
beginning. What started (reporter
interruption) as I understand this, what they
want to do now is to make the rollover legal,
and I'm very much against that. That's all I
have to say.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Mr
MacDougall, did you hear Carl's remarks?

MR. MACDOUGALL: I did.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Do you have anything you want to contribute?

MR. MACDOUGALL: The position of the Bureau was to study to determine how the Trinidad Project could best optimize its water use at the same time not injuring the vested water rights of water users in Kansas or Colorado. It attempted to do so within the context of the three year study and, I believe, came up with recommendations that both harm and

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE-TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2546

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

help	the	Pur	gato	oire	Dis	tri	ct.	Th	e 1	colle	over	•
provi	isio	n, w	hich	n is	сот	tai	ned	in	the	e pro	pos	ed
ameno	ded (	oper	atir	ng bi	rino	ipl	es,	con	ta	ins		
limit	tatio	ons	upor	n tha	at c	per	atio	n i	mpo	sed	bу	the
Burea	au.	Тhа	t li	imita	atio	n i	s th	at	пo	mor	e th	ıaπ
19,50	00 a	cre	feet	be:	COT	tai	ned	in	the	e jo	int	use
silt	con	trol	. pod	ol fi	rom	the	adı	nini	st	rati	ve	
trans	sfer	at	any	time	Э.	It'	s th	e b	ur	eau':	S	
posit	ion	, ba	sed	on t	the	stu	dу,	tha	t '	that	doe	s
not h	narm	the	inf	low	to	Joh	n Ma	rti	י מ	when		
compa	ared	to	the	1925	5-19	957	stud	lv.				

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Under those circumstances?

MR. MACDOUGALL: Under those circumstances. It appears to me that that is what the compact requires. The compact does not require that Colorado water users do anything except that they not diminish the flows of waters of the Arkansas River. believe that the proposed operating principles would not do that. They would not diminish the flows of the Arkansas. They would help Trinidad. And we are having difficulty in Trinidad operating right now. Last year we had one ditch that didn't even get 70 percent of

the water supply. So it's not as easy as it seems. The project is in an arid region and we have a very variable supply at Trinidad. It's feast or famine sometimes.

question of either Mr. Montgomery or Mr.

Witte. The present procedures of the state
engineer of the State of Colorado with respect
to rollover as I perceive them, is that there
will be none under any circumstances. Is that
correct, or is it incorrect?

MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cooley, you are incorrect. What the state engineer did is, in 1989, based upon the bureau's final report, accepted the Bureau of Reclamation's interpretation of the operating principles and agreed that in the future, beginning in November 1st, of 1988, the project would be operated in accordance with the bureau's interpretation of those operating principles until a court of competent jurisdiction determined that the rollover procedure was legal under the operating principles, or the operating principles had been amended.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Within a short

1	paragraph, Mr. MacDougall, what is the current
2	status of rollover with respect to state water
3	courts in the State of Colorado and Trinidad.
4	MR. MACDOUGALL: It has been
5	prohibited by an order of the state engineer
6	until the water court approves. I have applied
7	to Water Division Number 2 for such approval in
8	a case which is mentioned in one of the
9	"whereas's" to this resolution.
10	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is Kansas a
11	party to that case in the Colorado Water
12	. Court?
13	MR. MACDOUGALL: No, they're not. But
1 4	they're welcome to be if they want.
15	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Mr. Pope,
16	I've kind of clarified some things for myself
17	with these comments and I wonder if there's
18	more light on the subject. I know you're into
19	it far, far more deeply than any of the rest of
20	us are. "You" being your participants in the
21	pending litigation.
22	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I think we
23	understand the proposal. Let me turn to Mr.
24	Draper for a moment.
25	(THEREUPON, an off-the-record

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

¥

discussion was had).

MR. POPE: I think the point of clarification or a question that we would have at this time would be if Dennis could explain or distinguish this proposed resolution from the one-- or the two resolutions, either of those, that was proposed last year. Would that be possible Dennis?

MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Pope, I don't have the two resolutions before me. I believe this resolution would be consistent -- or very similar to the-- one of the two resolutions that was proposed last year. The effect is to set a standard by which the compact administration would approve proposed amendments to the operating principles. Namely, that they would not materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir, . beyond the average annual depletions, during the period 1925 through 1957 as determined by the Bureau of Reclamations prior studies. then direct the engineering committee to make a study to determine whether these proposed amendments would materially deplete the usable inflow based on that criteria.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Draper, something has just occurred to me, and I don't know if I'm right, but I think what I'm hearing is the possibility of discussions and considerations of the questions of the operating principles of Trinidad outside the pending Supreme Court litigation. That is to say in another forum, namely, the committee of the compact administration. And in that context I find this colloquy very interesting.

MR. DRAPER: Well, we-- Mr. Chairman, we find it very interesting also. It appears to us that this motion is similar to both of the previous motions from last year. I think it-- as far as I've been able to tell on a cursory review, it incorporates both of them, and it thereby incorporates the position that the State of Colorado has taken, with respect to the operating principles in the <u>Kansas</u> v. Colorado litigation. And it is a position that is contrary to the position of Kansas.

that the-- that the body of the resolution or the "whereas" are based-- predicated upon principles that you're unwilling or unable to

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE-TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

adopt at this time.

MR. DRAPER: I didn't say that, but

I-- with Mr. Pope's concurrence I think we are

ready to call for the question on the matter.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I hear you and I think I understand you. May I-- may I press this thing a little further. Do you perceive that it might be either fruitful or possible to work on some of the controversies between the states, particularly as it respects Trinidad Reservoir, outside of the-- of the litigation now underway.

MR. DRAPER: I haven't thought about that, Mr. Chairman. I'd be glad to give that some consideration. I don't have a response for you at this point, though.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, in this instance no response is, I think, happy-- happy news in view of the years in which we've tried to struggle with this thing.

MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I think-- at this point I think it's appropriate for the question to be called, and Kansas is ready to proceed.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I hear you. I

1	was putting it off as long as I could. Is
2	Kansas ready to vote on this question?
3	MR. POPE: We are.
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And Kansas?
5	MR. POPE: Kansas votes no.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado?
7	MR. WALKER: Colorado votes"aye".
8	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: That concludes
9	the matter for today. We hope the people from
10	Purgatoire stay for the next item on the agenda
11	at least. Do you have something to say, Mr.
12	MacDougall?
13	MR. MACDOUGALL: Yes, sir. I'm, I
14	guess, confused by the last comment of Mr.
15	Draper. I don't know if he said that this is
16	Draper. I don't know if he said that this is still before the Supreme Court or not. I am
16	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am
16	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again
16 17 18	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again next year.
16 17 18	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again next year.  CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, I heard Mr.
16 17 18 19 20	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again next year.  CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, I heard Mr.  Draper to say that they were not ready at this
16 17 18 19 20 21	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again next year.  CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, I heard Mr.  Draper to say that they were not ready at this time to indicate whether the Purgatoire/
16 17 18 19 20 21	still before the Supreme Court or not. I am concerned about whether I may bring it up again next year.  CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, I heard Mr.  Draper to say that they were not ready at this time to indicate whether the Purgatoire/  Trinidad Reservoir problems could be considered

hope at his comment that the fact that he did

25

not say, "No, there will be-- there may be no consideration of this matter as long as the Supreme Court case is pending." He was unwilling to do that and I took some encouragement from that. So next year is a long way off, Mr. MacDougall.

MR. MACDOUGALL: All right.

MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cooley, I don't think you understood Mr. MacDougall's comment. I think he was referring to the earlier comment by Mr. Draper, in which he said that the motion that was proposed today was similar to both of the motions made last year, and that it incorporated the position taken by the State of Colorado, which the State of Kansas disagreed with in the Supreme Court litigation. I don't think he was referring to Mr. Draper's later comment in which you asked him whether there was anything further that could be considered.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I think you're correct. I think I misunderstood Mr.

MacDougall. But next year is still a long way off and I think that ought to be the ruling from the chair on this matter. I think we've concluded, for today, this matter.

1	MR. MACDOUGALL: All right. I have
2	two matters on the agenda, Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Oh, good

heavens, you're saving the best for last. Is that where we are?

MR. MACDOUGALL: Sure.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Go ahead.

MR. MACDOUGALL: I have a second request on behalf of the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District. This request is that the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District be awarded an account, under the 1980 operating plan, in the John Martin Reservoir. The purpose of this account would be to facilitate the transfer or exchange of water from the main stream of the Arkansas to the Purgatoire River.

As everyone is aware, from time to time in the past we have purchased transmountain water from various sources and moved it down the Arkansas River and back up to Trinidad Dam by a process called "exchange" in Colorado. This process involves releasing water from a lake, such as Twin Lakes or Granite up in the high mountains where it's received from\_

25.

transmountain sources, delivering it down the Arkansas and into John Martin Reservoir. And then at an appropriate time when no intervening water rights are harmed, actually diverting out of priority at Trinidad the water that has been delivered to John Martin.

The way this works in Colorado is we pay a transit loss down the river all the way to John Martin and we get no transit credits back up the river to Trinidad. So for every hundred acre feet that are released at Twin Lakes, let's say, a fraction of that, maybe 95, 90 percent, is delivered to John Martin Reservoir and then a similar amount is taken out at Trinidad.

Because of the losses on the Purgatoire
River between the Trinidad Reservoir and John
Martin, it is our position that this kind of
transfer actually greatly benefits the water
users on the main stem. It in essence provides
much more water in John Martin than would have
been provided had the water passing Trinidad
Reservoir not been caught.

The problem with such an exchange is that the Purgatoire and the Arkansas don't run the

same way at the same time. They are two different rivers and it can be that one of them is in flood when the other one is in drought. The state engineer requires that right now, at least, an exchange from the Arkansas to the Purgatoire be made simultaneously, which means in essence that both rivers almost have to be free rivers for this exchange to work.

If the district could obtain an account in John Martin it would greatly facilitate such an exchange. We suggest that it would help Kansas because if the proper conditions were imposed upon such an account, Kansas could determine what nature of water exchanged, when it was exchanged, and how it was exchanged, as a matter of compact administration operation. We propose it's good for the water users in Colorado because whenever water is delivered to John Martin that would not have otherwise gotten there is a benefit to everybody on the main stem.

We proposed that the Article III kind of conditions be imposed with one exception. We have not provided that 35 percent of the water be put into the transit account. We have not

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

provided that because we have to buy this transmountain water from some other source and it seems appropriate to us that we not pay an extra penalty for moving the water.

We have also provided one other thing I should call to your attention which is that we may purchase transmountain return flows. That is provided that we could store that in the reservoir. I understand that that may be a deviation from past practices, but we would like to have the opportunity to buy transmountain return flows from places like Colorado Springs or Pueblo in order to improve the water supply at Trinidad.

We have no engineering study on this measure to present to you. All we have is the fact that we are a water user in Colorado like the other people who already have accounts, and we ask that you treat us in a way that is similar to how other Kansas and Colorado water users are treated.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Any questions of Mr. MacDougall?

MR. GENOVA: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Go ahead,

please.

MR. GENOVA: Mr. MacDougall--

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Carl, you'll have to-- you don't have to speak as loud as I do, but you're sure going to have to speak a lot louder than you are.

MR. GENOVA: In the sources of water that you outlined to put into this account, I find one source in there unacceptable. And I think we need to study that before we can go on with it.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: What is that source, Carl?

MR. GENOVA: Return flow.

MR. MACDOUGALL: I understand your concern, Mr. Genova, that the return flows will be a source of some problems for several years to come. And I am perfectly willing on behalf of the district to participate in whatever study the administration would like too. It seems to me that return flows, if not used elsewhere, would be a perfect source for Trinidad for two reasons. One of them is they'll come at the right time of the year. And the other reason, I hope they're not too

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

1 expensive. 2 MR. GENOVA: Well, as it relates to 3 what this resolution in its present form 4 contains that I, myself, couldn't accept it. 5 MR. MACDOUGALL: I understand. 6 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Are there any 7 other questions? 8 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman? 9 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes. 10 Sandy, I have a MR. ROGERS: 11 question. On the 35 percent charge that is 12 what is governing the project III water, that's 13 kind of the rules that's set up at the. 14 reservoir. And if you're going to come in under the project III water, why it would 15 16 almost be imperative that you pay the 35 17 percent water to keep everything in standard 18 (inaudible). 19 MR. MACDOUGALL: Mr. Rogers, I 20 understand that comment, also. It seems to me 21 if we were storing native water that was not 22 being stored at Trinidad where our water rights

are, then I would understand the comment. problem that I have with agreeing to pay the 35 percent is it seems to me that we are buying

23

24

25

1.4

transmountain water which theoretically would not otherwise reach John Martin and to make us pay an additional, I don't know what percentage it is, but let's say it's a little more than 35, would make it almost impossible for the transfer to work. The simultaneous transfer doesn't cost us that.

Now, some cost for using the reservoir might be appropriate. I don't know what is imposed on other people who use it temporarily. But it seems to me, and only to me, to be fair to agree to give you the water we couldn't evacuate from the reservoir at the end of the year that we purchased. But it didn't seem like it was the same kind of water that, say, the Fort Lyon High Plains Reservoirs (sic) are delivering to John Martin. It's different water, it costs us a lot more. And we will in fact be suffering—we won't be suffering a loss, but the river—the Arkansas will be gaining because we divert the water at Trinidad, I think.

Now, I understand that there is only one entity that actually has transferred water through-- maybe two, without a charge, but I

1	understand that water has been transferred
2	without a charge and it seems to me that it
3	might be appropriate for Trinidad to be able
4	use this.
5	MR. ROGERS: Well, the water users in
6	District 67 have a problem with that.
7	MR. MACDOUGALL: Mr. Chairman, it
8	looks like unless Kansas makes the motion I'm
9	not going to get any place today. Kansas?
10	MR. POPE: I don't feel that we'll be
11	able to do that today, Sandy.
12	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, you've
13	aired the request and you've properly taken the
1 4	temperature of the compact administration
15	MR. MACDOUGALL: May I ask if it's
16	possible for the administration to study the
17	question?
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You can sure
19	ask. I think that at the at the next meeting
20	of the committee
21	MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Cooley, in hopes
22	of trying to move this along, it seems to me
.23	that one of the issues that Mr. Bentrup raised
24	during the review of the operating principles
25	is that Kansas had not been informed of the

exchanges which took place in the early 1980's between the Arkansas and Trinidad Reservoir.

And Colorado agreed, although it wasn't required to do so, that it would inform the administration when such exchanges took place.

It seems to me that there is a possibility here that by creating an account the compact administration would have some ability to approve the sources of water that were stored in that account. I might take it from comments that have been made so far that the proposal in its current form may not be acceptable, but it does seem to me that there may be some merit in having it referred to one of the committees for further consideration, because it seems to me there may be some benefit on the part of Kansas to having an account, which it then has some control over in terms of approving sources of water that get stored in that account.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, I think I perceive the-- some of the benefits that you've touched upon and certainly, I think the committees work in the same manner that the administration worked that anything that anyone wishes to bring before us will receive

consideration. And therefore, I think you've put everyone on notice that at the next meeting of the operating committee, or for that matter the legal committee, you should have the opportunity to be heard. And I will suggest this to all the present members of the compact administration so that there be no meeting of which you do not receive notice and the opportunity to be heard.

Mr. Harrison, there was a micro-second lull just then. And I believe it would be appropriate for you to speak to the compact administration on behalf of the City of Trinidad.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I'm glad to do that. Let me first indicate that Jim Fernandez, the Director of the Water Utilities in Trinidad is here with me this morning.

And then very quickly to get to the heart of the matter here last December the administration considered the proposal of the City of Trinidad for changes in the operating principles that would allow it to use irrigation water which it has under the--

¥

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

originally the Model Land and Irrigation Company, now the John Flood Ditch Company to use that water for municipal, industrial, and for permanent pool replacement purposes.

At the last meeting Kansas indicated it was willing to try its best to make a review of our proposal and to try to get back to us by April 1. I have been in communication with Mr. Pope and Mr. Draper over the course of the last year. Due to the circumstances surrounding the Supreme Court litigation it has not been possible for Kansas to complete that review and get back to me. But I would like to request again today that the administration consider the proposal of the City of Trinidad for amendments to the operating principles and to see if we can move this thing along as best as possible.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: David, are you talking about considering the operating principles in gross in line with the recommendations of the Corps of Engineers in their lengthy report, or are you recommending some smaller change that more directly affects the City of Trinidad?

> NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 88612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

	MR.	HARRISON	1: No,	I'm ref	erring t	o a
specific	and	smaller	set of	changes	that	
directly	affe	cts the	City of	Trinid	lad. The	ese
are chang	jes i	ncluded	in draf	t amend	ments to	the
operating	g pri	inciples	which h	ave bee	n tende	red
to the St	tate	of Color	rado and	the St	ate of	
Kansas ar	nd wit	nich have	e been u	inder ne	gotiatio	пс
with the	Bure	eau of Re	eclamati	on and	under	
discussio	iw no	ith other	r partie	s in Co	olorado (	n
the Arkai	nsas	•				
					•	

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is there acquiescence by the Bureau of Reclamation?

MR. HARRISON: Mr. Chairman, there is in principle, if I may say that. There has not been a final sign off on language, there may be further editorial changes. But in our work with the Bureau it seems that in principle the type of amendments we are seeking will work.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And you made it clear earlier that the principle problem is the pendency of the litigation and the question of time to consider these requests. Is that fair?

MR. HARRISON: Yes, although let me be sure I didn't distort things. It's really been

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 68612 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

a question of time. Kansas has been unable to get to it. It's not a substantive matter that this is caught up in the litigation anywhere—in any way—to my understanding.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And I also take it that you are not, as Mr. MacDougall was, sanguine that there can be any action taken by the compact administration today.

MR. HARRISON: Correct, to the contrary-- well, I'm sorry, today?

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah.

MR. HARRISON: Action taken today.

No, I-- in speaking with Kansas they've made it clear that they haven't had a chance to look at it and they've-- not to speak for them, but I'm hopeful that they are willing to continue to pursue that review in good faith. So I'm not interested in asking for action on it today, but I am very interested in seeking further progress on this review and to get the compact administration to so determine.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Hold off just a minute, David, if you will. Mr. Pope, are we in a situation whereby if there were a hiatus in the case in California that a meeting of the

₹

operations committee might be of benefit to consider whatever would come before the committee during the spring, say--

MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, no. I don't believe that would resolve the matter in the short run. I think, as David has indicated, our concerns have related primarily to the time that is available to provide the kind of review that we think is appropriate. And so in that context, I don't believe that a meeting of the operations committee or perhaps the engineering committee, or whichever, would be appropriate at some time in the future is in order right now.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: But I take it you have no disagreement with Mr. Harrison's articulation that you do-- you are willing to consider it when you have the time and the opportunity to analyze it?

(THEREUPON, an off-the-record discussion was had).

MR. POPE: I don't believe David mischaracterized that in terms of the formal discussions that occurred we're-- we are just simply not in a position to provide a specific

. З

comment at this time. There's no action really before the administration right at this moment that's expected. And we'll do our best, as we indicated last year, to get to the matter as—just as soon as we possibly can.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Harrison, I suspect that we cannot do more than that. Does that comport to what your thoughts are at this time?

MR. HARRISON: Yes, that's fine, and that is my understanding. I did want to inquire of the administration, just perhaps for my information, if Kansas gets an opportunity to get to this matter sometime prior to the next regular meeting— administration meeting, is there a procedure for the administration to take something up between meetings by mail or otherwise or by special meetings?

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You bet, and we've done it on many occasions. I, myself, have — one of my regrets with the litigation — for a number of years wanted to have a summer meeting at the Broadmoor where we could inspect the water supply for the Air Force Academy and the City of Colorado Springs, the Fountain Qui

Э

Broulle, and the Broadmoor golf course and just hasn't been-- but, yeah, the answer to that is yes and Mr. Pope has been most-- Kansas has been most cooperative in-- as has Colorado in meetings at any time where there was a prospect that something could be accomplished.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, you asked us several questions. It might be appropriate to ask the delegation from Colorado if they have any comments on the proposal. I don't know that we've heard from them in terms of either comments or actions.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: City of Trinidad, are you going to turn them down?

MR. MONTGOMERY: Mr. Chairman, perhaps
I can respond. The-- I don't know that the
Colorado representatives have reached any
decision on whether to approve the proposed
amendments. However, the proposed changes to
the water rights by the City of Trinidad will
have to be approved by the water court in which
every Colorado water user who objects has an
opportunity to be heard. This will be fully

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE.\*
TOPEKA, KS. 86812

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

litigated in the Colorado water court. And I presume that no matter what this compact administration does, even if the compact administration approves it, the City of Trinidad still has to get approval from the water court. And I suspect there will be objectors representing interest both on the main stem of the Arkansas District 67 and on the Purgatoire.

MR. HARRISON: For the record, Mr. Chairman, that water court case is pending and it was filed in-- late in 1988. There are several objectors, and we have had preliminary discussions with them and with some of the others.

and Mr. Pope and the Kansas delegation, I would like to make a suggestion not perhaps as Chairman as much as a practitioner in the Colorado water court. For several years it's appeared to me that there may well be issues and occasions upon which the Colorado water court may be an appropriate forum for the State of Kansas to either be apprised or to appear. It can consider issues that are not of such

moments that the Supreme Court of the United
States should be the only forum. And the other
part about the Colorado water court at the
least is the study of the resumes published
monthly by the water court and the various
water districts, is one of the remarkable ways
to be apprised with what is going on with
respect to water in Colorado, a gratuitous
comment, but you might consider that. And I'm
interested in full employment for water
attorneys, too. Thank you, Mr. Harrison.

I want to suggest to our audience that we are moving along. That at this time in the meeting we have various routine matters such as the <u>Kansas v. Colorado</u> litigation and the election of officers, but that we will get again to substantial moments pretty—matters of substance pretty quickly, especially the reports of the federal agencies and the USGS. I anticipate that will all be before noon. So we are moving along and there's going to be some stuff here.

The next item on the agenda is the status report of Kansas v. Colorado. And I think it's splendid that we have two of the attorneys here

who can tell us who's going to win and what all is going to happen. But there's the possibility that they may not choose to take that approach, and if they should not, I would think as an agenda item at the-- I believe it would be appropriate if we were to be apprised of what is the present status of the case, and what calendar and time table will be for as long as is known and at what time-- or what year resolution about this might be anticipated. Mr. Montgomery, would you want to start off, and I'm going to call on Mr. Draper.

MR. MONTGOMERY: I'm not going to make any predictions about the outcome of the litigation. The trial has been in recess since the end of May. The State of Kansas submitted revised and replacement exhibits to the State of Colorado and the United States last week. We are in the process of beginning our review of those replacement exhibits. The trial is scheduled to resume on February 24th, and a schedule has been agreed to which would complete the liability phase of the trial by the end of June.

During the recess we have reaped two

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

nomination of Carl Bentrup which would not be

25

1 in order? 2 MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I would move 3 that we re-elect, I think is the term, or 4 elect, if that's appropriate, Carl Genova for 5 the second year in that same capacity. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: 6 There has been 7 an all purpose nomination and motion to railroad Mr. Genova as vice-chairman. Is there 8 9 any other nominations? Any other nominations? 10 Hearing none, I will take it that Carl Genova 11 has been re-elected as vice-chairman by acclamation. The recording secretary -- pardon. 12 13 me, who is the recording secretary? MR. ROGERS: Bernice Carr. 14 15 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Bernice Carr. Ι 16 apologize Bernice. I'll entertain a motion that Bernice Carr be elected by acclamation to 17 18 be recording secretary. 19 MR. GENOVA: I'll so move. 20 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right. ΙS 21 there any other comment -- any other name's?

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right. Is there any other comment -- any other name's?

Bernice Carr has been re-elected as recording secretary by acclamation. Treasurer?

MR. GENOVA: Mr. Chairman, I would nominate Jim Rogers.

22

23

24

25

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Are there any
2	other nominations? Any other nominations? (no
3	response). I'll rule that Jim Rogers has been
4	re-elected treasurer by acclamation.
5	Operations secretary? And that would be
6	Steve?
7	MR. ROGERS: Uh-huh.
8	MR. POPE: Move for the election of
9	Steve Witte.
1.0	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been
11	moved. You don't want to get Bob Jesse back in
1 2	there?
13	MR. POPE: It might be fun, but I
1 4	think we're going to have to stick with the
15	other approach.
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We're going to
1 7	have to stick with him.
18	MR. POPE: Steve does a great job.
19	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: But it would be
20	fun to put Bob on the hot seat. We had a great
21	deal of pleasure sticking pins and needles into
22	him. Steve, you have been elected by
23	acclamation as operations secretary. The
24	appointment of committee members and I remind
25	you that what we have done in the mast is

1 rotate the chairmanships but maintain the 2 committees, is that--3 MR. ROGERS: That's correct. 4 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: My recollection 5 is right. Would someone move that we make the traditional appointments with respect to the 6 7 three committees. 8 MR. POPE: I don't want to do all the 9 talking here, but I would move. 10 Thank you, I was CHAIRMAN COOLEY: waiting for you. Mr. Pope has made the 11 traditional motion with respect to committees, 12 that the committees will remain the same, but 13 14 the chairmanships of the three committees will rotate to the other state. Is there any 15 16 objections to that? Hearing none, that will be the decision with respect to committees. 17 Now-- oh, my goodness, you'll never guess 18 what's next on the agenda. Mr. Jesse, where 19 20 are you. Okay, Bob, front and center. 21 this time Mr. Jesse is speaking for the Bureau 22 of Reclamation and we must address him as 23 mister. 24 MR. JESSE: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. I'm glad to be here again. Chairman. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course I'm always glad to be here with this I'll try to be very brief. I ought to group. just mention a few things that the Bureau has done. One of the things I was-- you're aware that we had our dedication of FryArk projects that are being complete last year. There are still some features that are not completed that we hope to have completely done. The camp grounds that were not done we're hoping to have completed and ready for occupancy in March of The Arkansas Point Camp Grounds, which is '92. the more elaborate of the two, we're hoping to have occupied by the 1st of July of 1992. project was inspected in a tri-annual inspection of the facility and was found to be in operating shape and in good shape. Leadville Water Treatment Plant, a feature of the FryArk project is operating now. making sludge now. They will be fully operational and automated well before June. It's planned to turn the project over to the Bureau for operation late this year or early next year. That feature will improve the quality of the Arkansas River and the headwaters by taking out the heavy metal. That

22.

is in the early stages of operations today as we speak.

The Pueblo Reservoir, the winter storage is a little behind the-- what it was last year. I don't know a comparison either, but the Bureau of Reclamation is moving water from our upper reservoirs, Twin Lakes and Turquoise, which are substantially full at this time. We are moving - and I believe it's a hundred and twenty-second feet, it was last week, down from Twin Lakes and-- from a combination of Turquoise and Twin Lakes, and we will continue moving that water through the rest of the winter. We are planning to move somewhere in the neighborhood of 50,000 acre feet yet this winter in anticipation of the imports for next year--

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Let me interrupt for just a second, Bob. The-- you are draining down Turquoise, but nothing is coming through the Boustead tunnel at this season. Is that right?

MR. JESSE: That's correct. We are transporting -- we are a combination of two things. We are not importing water at all

right now and we are drawing water from

Turquoise. There is a power plant, we are

moving the waters through the power plant to

the Twin Lakes power plant and from there down

to Pueblo. And we are drawing them down in

anticipation of, not only our Bureau of

Reclamation imports, but the other entities

that use the reservoir and imports.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: One more question. Does the pump storage feature of the Twin Lakes power plant go into operation in the same season that you're running this water from Turquoise through the power plant?

MR. JESSE: The operation of the pump storage is continuous, it operates year round other than the times when it's down for maintenance, or something like that. The effect, if such, of moving water either from Turquoise— or from Boustead to Turquoise and then through the plant is the net effect of a reduction in the amount of water pumped as opposed to a difference in the total output in electricity. What that has the effect of doing is they have to pump less back to make the same amount of power. So what the imports— the

effect it has is simply the reduction in the amount of water that is necessary to pump back, so it makes it more efficient.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: But they don't generate or sell or distribute substantially more electric power during that period, or do they?

MR. JESSE: There is more net production of power when there are imports than when there are not imports. The plant is taken on a year—it is a power consuming rather than power producing plant because it actually consumes a little more power than it produces. The thing that makes it effective is the fact that if— they make the power as a prime rate and then they purchase the power at the dump rate, so that's what makes it work. But it's actually a net power consumer, not much, but some. But the effect of non-import is the reduction in efficiency, if you can you could term it that.

Our initial forecast, and it's very preliminary this year, is where we got the 50,000 acre feet. Our average is closer to 69,000-- the long-term average is closer to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 69. But the reports I have heard from both the
2 Collection System and the East Slope System is
3 that there is considerable amount of snow. So
4 we will adjust our forecast as the year goes
5 on. But right now we are forecasting somewhere
6 in the neighborhood of 50,000.
7

The only other thing I would mention is you all—most of you have known Jack Garner.

Last year the project manager for the Eastern

Colorado Project was Steve Clark, he has been replaced by Jack Garner who was formerly the sub-district chief in Pueblo. And he has appeared before the commission several times.

But he is the new project manager, he took office in September and he was—had been here today, but he had another commitment. And if—other than that, if there's no questions, that's the end of my report, sir.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah. Where did you get the idea there would be no questions?

Is there anyone else from the Bureau with you today?

MR. JESSE: No, there is not.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: This is the fun

part of the meeting, Mr. Pope, an opportunity

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2546

1-800-255-5040

1	not to be missed.
2	MR. POPE: I always hate to pass these
3	kinds of opportunities, but I don't know that I
4	have any questions for Bob this year, maybe
5	some of my colleagues do.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Questions for
7	Bob from the
8	MR. WALKER: No, we're going to let
9	him off.
10	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, no, no,
11	no, no, the outfit has to have some tradition.
1 2	Do the members of the audience have any
13	questions for Mr. Jesse? Yes.
1 4	MR. MACDOUGALL: Who's going to win
15	Kansas v. Colorado?
16	MR. JESSE: I don't know. It looks
1 7	like a draw so far.
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Any
19	other any other questions. One that occurs
2 0	to me, Bob, and I'm sure there's no answer for
2 1	it, but the I really am distressed in getting
2 2	reports from the district at Pueblo, and so
23	forth, of the amount of water in Turquoise and
2 4	in Pueblo Reservoir and the paucity of water in

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

John Martin. I suppose for optimism and hope

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

25

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2546

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we would look to the snow pack. And are there any other savings or efficiencies that you perceive that deserve our attention for increasing the amount of water in John Martin and the use of water in the valley?

MR. JESSE: I agree that there's probably no answer. The solution, of course, does lie in a good snow production year. haven't had really -- the last couple of years haven't been that good. We're keeping our fingers crossed. The 1st of December is extremely early to say about snow, but it doesn't look that bad so far, although it's been terrible dry in the mountains. We did get some early snow, it did freeze in good. conditions are good for a good snow pack. the season wears on I'm hoping that we're in the position of having to readjust our figures of how much we're going to move down to make it -- raise it up. But so far the snow pack looks good, but it's awfully early to tell. But that's our salvation is another good year. We've had them before and I'm sure we'll have them again.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Bob, you've been

here for decades and almost in every imaginable hat and we all, I know, take pleasure in your representation of the Bureau of Reclamation.

You've gone on to higher jobs it seems. Do you have anything to say on the recreational—or the game and fish pool in John Martin? I took great heart at the comments from the State of Colorado on the subject. Do you have anything to say on that subject?

MR. JESSE: I haven't been in thethat process and-- of course I've been around
since there was no permanent pool and it looks
good to have a permanent pool. I'm hoping they
can get something done. If there's anything I
could do, I would. I have not been in that
process, but I'm certainly encouraged to hear
that there's something positive going forward
on getting a permanent pool and any permanent
water supply to keep the pool.

You for your ability in the last couple of years to stay out of court. That has been another step forward.

MR. JESSE: The Bureau of Reclamation has only been in one court case since I've been

with them and I'm glad of that. 1 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Any other 2 3 questions? (No response). Thank you. you very much. Mr. Kreiner from the Corps of 5 Engineers. Dick, would you introduce any other people that may be here. 6 7 MR. KREINER: Certainly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dick Kreiner, Corps of Engineers 8 9 from Albuquerque. We have hardcopies of our 10 formal report and Don Gallegos, of my staff 11 from Albuquerque, has some extra copies if 12 anyone in the audience would like those just 13 raise your hand. 14 The reason we submit those is it contains 15 point of context for some of our authorities 16 and we find it useful to disseminate those at 17 I have with me today Jerry this time. Sullaway. He is the new project manager from 18 John Martin Reservoir. Jerry, do you have want 19 20 to stand up there. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Welcome aboard. 21 22 MR. SULLAWAY: Thank you. 23

MR. KREINER: As I also indicated Don Gallegos, he's the Arkansas River flood control coordinator in my office. And also I have Phil

> NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

24

25

Thank

Boawn, from our Pueblo office with me today. I think I have a relatively short report for you today.

Our flood control operations during last year were-- because of the relatively low snow melt run-off and no significant major rain fall amounts, there really were no flood control regulations from the Corps projects of Trinidad and John Martin, or was there any significant flood control operation at Pueblo.

We did have one event on the 12th of August when there was peak inflows at the Portland Gage of over 7,500 CFS and that particular event did result in damages prevented of nearly half a million dollars because of the storage that resulted in that.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Half a million dollars in damages?

MR. KREINER: That's correct. The channel capacity below Pueblo is about 6,000 CFS. And indications are that the inflow peak was somewhere in the neighborhood of 75 hundred. And as a result of Pueblo being there and that peak being knocked off there was a small amount of damages associated with that--

small amount of savings in damages.

Most of our activity in the Arkansas basin for that— last year dealt with activities in our small projects programs. We call this our continuing authority. In this area there are four studies that are ongoing. Three of them on Fountain Creek at Overtone Road, I-25, and the Santa Fe Avenue bridge. There's one other study and that is the State Highway 194 along the Arkansas River near Bents Old Fort in La Junta.

Under our flood plain manager services
there's been some changes that have occurred
over the last couple of years due to some
recent legislation. Section 321 of the Water
Resource Development Act of 1990 requires that
the Corps of Engineers recoup some of the costs
associated with providing certain flood plain
management services. These services to federal
agencies, private persons, and organizations
must be charged and so we have set up a
charging procedure. Fee schedule has been
established and—we continue to provide
services to state, regional, and local
governments at no costs. So there's a change

1	in the way that the Corps is doing business as
2	it results to flood plain management services.
3	As far as 1991, the Corps has responded to
4	50 such requests for technical services and
5	flood hazard evaluations at specific sites
6	within the Arkansas River basin.
7	Under our 404 permit, this is in Section
8	404 of the Clean Water Act, and this year thus
9	far we have 7 we have issued 7 permits and
10	one has been denied. There are several permits
11	pending. Mr. Chairman, that concludes my
12	report. I just provided an abbreviated hit
13	on the highlights of the formal report. I
1 4	certainly can address any questions that you
15	might have.
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'm going to ask
17.	a question or two of Mr. Sullaway in just a
18	second, but are there any questions of Mr.
19	Kreiner?
20	MR. POPE: I'm sorry?
21	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Any questions of
22	the Corps?
23	MR. POPE: Just a minute, Mr.
24	Chairman.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Sure.

6.

MR. POPE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Pope, do you have any questions of Mr. Kreiner?

MR. POPE: No, I don't. Thanks for the report, Dick.

questions? (No response). While you're here, Bill, and a number of other persons, what is the status of the Arkansas River, with respect to channel capacity, between John Martin and the state line? We've discussed it at length in some other years and there have been some studies made. And I'm just drawing a blank on that subject and I'd like to be brought up to date. Have you any responsibility or made any consideration of this subject.

MR. KREINER: We consider the channel capacity to be approximately 3,000 CFS directly below the dam. And depending on the amount of diversion that is taking place we can release a greater amount, but essentially we use 3,000 CFS. Now, we did look at the channel capacity in this last year—well, not exactly channel capacity. We did look at a hydraulic analysis that defined the flood plains at other

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 86612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

19 .

discharges other than 3,000 CFS. And the reason we did that is that I consider it— that if it is a possibility that at some point in time we may be asked— we may have to release in excess of channel capacity due to the flood control operation at John Martin. And we needed a little more information to know at what point you start getting damages and at what point you start flooding homes.

So the purpose of it is to just gather more information so that if we did get to the point where we have to exceed that 3,000 CFS that we would have a little more information as far as whether we start flooding out homes, or at what point we start leaving those agricultural damages and start incurring damages far in excess of that.

One of the reasons that we're looking for more information is we do have a forecasting Model on the Arkansas River basin that we do intend to use for rainfall events. And now that we have a little more forecasting capability we have more time, we think that we have to go to maybe 15,000, or something like that, to prevent having to release a greater

1	amount that we could induce those higher
2	releases, sustain smaller damages, and
3	hopefully preclude having to pass higher
4	discharges.
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Steve, have you
6	any knowledge of what 15,000 acre feet of
7	release is from John Martin would do, or is
8	this in your bailiwick at all?
9	MR. WITTE: 15,000 CFS?
. 0	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: CFS.
1	MR. WITTE: I would suspect we would
l 2	have some problems passing that amount below
13	John Martin at the present time. I'd like
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'm going to get
l 5	to Bill in just a minute.
۱6	MR. WITTE: I would appreciate it if
L 7	you would.
l 8	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Caught that.
9	Mr. Howland?
2 0	MR. HOWLAND: During the years of 1985
2 1	and '87 we had of course the Corps of
2 2	Engineers took control of the reservoir because
23	it invaded the flood control portion of the
24	reservoir, and the release was maximized at
25	3,000 CFS. But we did experience problems

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

between Lamar and Granada, especially, and we had several complaints from farmers and other people along the river of bank erosion and erosion back in the fields, at a rate of 3,000 And I'm sure that the channel capacity has not gotten any better. In fact, I suspect that it's considerably less than it was in 1987-- somewhat less. So the 3,000 CFS seems to work fairly well between John Martin Reservoir and a point somewhere around the City of Lamar here, but as you progress on downstream the channel just absolutely does not handle that kind of a release, and I don't know what the capacity is. But some of the local people that had a fairly vociferous meeting here in Lamar. There's a lot of people that. were experiencing damages at that time. really don't-- I really don't think that 3,000 CFS release is what we should be looking at right now given the condition of the channel at least between Lamar and Granada.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Stay on your feet for just a second if you will. Bob, has there been a build up of sand and siltation along the river from John Martin to Granada.

1	MR. JESSE: I would agree with Bill
2	that there has been. It is a natural
3	occurrence. And it's my experience that 3,000
4	second feet is about the channel's capacity.
5	And I agree with what he's saying, I think that
6	15,000 second feet would wash out every
7	headgate from John Martin to Garden City.
8	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, not just
9	that either, would it? It'd be more serious
10	than washing out headgates, I take it.
11	MR. JESSE: Yeah, I think I agree, I
12	think 3,000 feet is where you begin getting
13	damage. So anything above that, you're looking
14	at erosion certainly extensive erosion of the
15	(inaudible).
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Now, help me out
17	in another matter. What was the occasion we
18	were looking at the at the increase in
19	elevation of the river channel at La Junta a
20	couple of years ago? Was that a proposed
21	Bureau project or a proposed Corps project to
22	re-channel the river by the City of La Junta.
23	MR. JESSE: It wasn't a Bureau
24	project, I believe it was a Corps
2.5	MP POAGN. Vosh it uses Corne

project that would have looked at providing flood control protection in downtown La Junta in the north side of town which is an extremely vulnerable right now. I don't remember right off hand what the project costs were.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Would you please stand for a second.

MR. BOAWN: Sure.

of you, or anyone else, is there anything that we should— that the compact administration should be prudently doing or encouraging at this time with respect to the channel capacity, at least below John Martin?

MR. KREINER: I might add one thing that the Corps of Engineers has continual problem with is maintaining at least a minimum channel capacity below our reservoir. And that is mainly caused by federal government not having any control over local zoning and things of that nature. So we certainly encourage local governments to provide wise flood plain management practices, encourage non-development in flood prone areas—

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Let me ask

another question. There have been many federally paid for flood studies of municipalities in Colorado. Are there such of counties or larger areas than cities? David, do you know the answer to that one? I don't.

MR. WALKER: Well, there's ongoing efforts to identify flood plains in the state, and of course it's a moving target because land use practices change. We never have enough money for any of this. In fact, the state has no funds. We tend to work with the Corps of Engineers and with the Soil Conservation Service to hit the hot spots where there are identified hazardous conditions.

There certainly would be a possibility if there needs to be an investigation below John Martin for us to try to figure out some way to get that job done. I think it's fairly clear that it's probably a package of some remedial measures, as well as some preventative measures in terms of land use controls.

I am not certain whether or not there have been flood plains designated in the counties down here. Counties do participate in flood plain regulation in Colorado and in-- my board

is the agency for designating those flood plains after appropriate engineering analysis.

I-- you spring this on me, Frank, without my staff.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, I surprise myself, so it's all right.

MR. WALKER: Yes. And it just leads off to a point where we could—we could certainly look into this. We don't—we don't do these things unless there is a local entity that is sufficiently concerned to want to have us involved and to try to seek the wherewithal to get a study done.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, it looked to me like there were two thresholds of concern. The first would be the 10,000 cubic feet go down and wash out every headgate, erode some fields, take care of some road structures, and things of that nature. And then there's a larger event that begins to get to a-- farm houses and the highways, roads, that sort of thing which is more serious yet. And is the dry year a good time to start thinking about what measures we can afford or are appropriate or are prudent for that amount of water.

1.0

Because as sure as we're sitting here there will be a time, whether it be near or far, that there will be a large amount of water going down the river below John Martin.

MR. HOWLAND: I'd like to say that this reservoir has been in operation for almost 50 years— or about 50 years and I think— I'd be fair to the Corps of Engineers people, but that on almost— below almost every reservoir there's a stream bed that becomes aggraded because with the controlled flow you don't have the scouring of high flows. And in this 50 years that John Martin Reservoir has been in operation has really taken it's toll on the old channel. The old channel is no longer there.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Bill, I think
your remarks are real appropriate and all the
more reason that this should require attention
and it just occurs to me ad hoc that it's a
matter that cuts across a whole bunch of
jurisdictional lines; state, county,
government, zoning, Corps of Engineers, Bureau
of Reclamation, what have you, and may deserve
some further attention. If that were the case,
who should the lead person or persons be?

1	MR. WALKER: Well, Frank, let me offer
2	to provide you with a background report on the
3	status of flood plain management in the public
4	entities below John Martin, in Colorado, as a
5	starting point to find out what the status of
6	activity on that kind of preventive side is.
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I take it from
8	the fact that you're going to provide me a
9	report that it's a legitimate matter of concern
10	and worthy of further consideration.
11	MR. WALKER: I think that's probably
12 `	what I'm saying. We'll see what happens.
13	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We have a
14	volunteer another yes.
15	MR. HINES: Will the Corps of
16	Engineers allow straightening of channels to
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I didn't hear
18	I could not hear you.
19	MR. KREINER: The question was, will
20	the Corps of Engineers allow straightening of
21	curves in the channels. And I'm going to let
22	Phil address that.
23	MR. BOAWN: Any study that we were to
24	undertake we would of course have to look at

that as an option. I couldn't say blanket

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, yeah, we would allow that or that that would be a proposed plan or even a viable solution. You start looking at other effects that are associated with straightening channels and you might all of a sudden have the Grand Canyon of the Arkansas up here also. Occasionally, when you straighten channels like that you get tremendous savings. It takes care of your flood problem, but it certainly doesn't

address the erosion you have and you send the sediments downstream.

MR. KREINER: We certainly didn't mean to alarm anyone because of the fact that we are looking at different flood plains. merely because we had the greater potential for forecasting that we perceive that in some point in the future, particularly in years where John Martin's conservation pool is full, if a major flood occurred on the basin above them, then you don't nearly have the flood protection in the reservoir as you do -- as it is today. we do not intend to release in excess of 3,000 CFS only if we were able to forecast that by going to higher release earlier, we could prevent damages from occurring by virtue of a

greater event occurring without having some intermediate rising.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You didn't alarm anyone except insofar as you've turned the Chairman loose. That was the only--

MR. KREINER: One thing-- one thing-John Martin-- well, the Arkansas below John
Martin does provide is that there is a
tributary there-- a couple tributaries that are
capable of discharging to the Arkansas River
far in excess of any channels capacity in
existence. And that-- by virtue of those-that potential being there it has prevented a
great deal of encroachment, if you will, in the
flood prone areas. So I'm not saying there's a
real concern as far as the zoning and land use
practices are concerned. It's just something
that we want to be prepared for as possible to
address.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well-- but the bottom line is still sort of what Bill's remark was, you fly over it or look over it. The Arkansas River channel doesn't exist in many parts of the river. Yes.

MR. FROST: Mr. Cooley, I'd just like

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

to state for the audience that remarks of Mr. Howland and the gentlemen from the Corps of Engineers are very appropriate. During the 1987 releases that incurred about 3,000 feet at the state line there were minor beginnings of damages occurring in the Kansas portions of the Certainly anything greater than 3,000 channel. CFS would incur some major damages. magnitude of 15,000 feet would be severe. Damages to structures, such as bridges and culverts and the like, it certainly starts to add up to a great deal of monetary value.

I think it is appropriate for the compact to be concerned in this matter and referred to my superiors in the course. But for another reason as Mr. Howland described the characteristics of the channel are such that the efficiency of deliveries and the benefits derived from conservation of waters in the reservoir is not nearly as great, as it takes a great -- not great, it takes a larger head of water to push down the channel where there is It's not nearly so defined and it's congested with vegetation and debris and dead wood, that it just doesn't get there like it

> NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 88812

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

1	used to. There's a great more extent of
2	evaporated loss and loss in the side channels,
3	not to recharge or but just to mere bank
4	removal and I think it would be a good thing to
· 5	look at.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good, are there
7	any other questions of the Corps of Engineers?
8	MR. GENOVA: I have one.
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes.
10	MR. GENOVA: Have you completed the
11	work on the channel of Purgatoire through
12	Trinidad?
13	MR. KREINER: The City of Trinidad has
14	completed the work on reestablishing the
15	channel capacity through the City of Trinidad.
16	Yes.
17	MR. GENOVA: What is that capacity,
18	now?
19	MR. KREINER: Approximately 15,000
20	CFS. We have a similar study going on to
21	reevaluate the existing channel capacity below
22	Trinidad dam as well, and we're primarily
23	interested with the area below the City of
24	Trinidad until it reaches the canyon, so this
25	is something that we do periodically to make

sure that what we have in our water control

plans as a channel capacity is -- reflects the

current condition. There's been a considerable

amount of vegetation, three out of five growth

and things like that in the channel, as well.

And you don't get the flushing action that you

did prior to the dams being in place. So it's

something we do periodically.

much. Bill, in any ongoing work on this because the of fact there's so many different agencies and different people that should be heard from and should participate, I hope that you would be on committees and help guide us in making sure that we're not missing some outfit or entity that has a roll in considering this area. And your experience should be invaluable in that respect.

MR. HOWLAND: I'll do my best, sir.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The Geological Survey reports. Doug Cane.

MR. CANE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd first just like to give a brief rundown of some of our data collection activities in the basin as part of the first agenda item.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Are there other people from the Survey here this morning.

MR. CANE: I don't believe there are. I believe there's a representative from the Garden City office here today. As you know, out of the Pueblo Sub-district Office, we operate 6 gaging stations in cooperation with the compact and there's also two gaging stations that are operated in Kansas by the Kansas District of the Geological Survey. Operations at all of those gaging stations proceeded normally this year.

The data from 19-- the 1990 water year were published during the summer of '91. the records from the '91 water year, which ended September 30th, are at least for the Colorado stations are substantially completed and will be ready for publication within a couple months.

We did see some high water events, especially on Purgatoire, that were mentioned early and so made a number of -- a number of extra discharge measurements at those sites.

In addition to the stations that we operate in conjunction with the compact out of

. 13

the Pueblo Sub-district, we also operate about 55 or 60 additional stream gages throughout the Arkansas basin and the record from those is certainly available to the compact and is also published.

On an annual basis, also just for your information, in cooperation with the southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District we make water level measurements in about 200 alluvial wells along the Arkansas between Pueblo and the stateline. And that data, again, is also available to the compact and, in fact, any interested citizens.

Additionally, in a number of the compact sites, and at about 25 other sites throughout the basin, we're currently operating continuous reporting water quality monitors, most of which have been established within the last 10 years, since there's been increased interest in water quality in the basin. And that data, again, is available to the compact should there be interest in it.

The-- out of the office in Pueblo we do the data collection and studies, currently in cooperation with about 25 different--

1 1

other federal, state, and local agencies
within the basin. So that I guess one last
comment of items of interest that have occurred
within the last year. In June of this year we
had a new district chief appointed for the
Colorado District Office at the USGS. His
name is Dave Lidstrom. He served previously as
the chief of studies for the district. That
concludes what I have to report under that
agenda item.
CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Are you going

to be on the next item of the agenda. Mr.

Spronk, a question that I've been curious about for some time. Have the data compiled by the USGS been utilized in the litigation in <a href="Mainton">Kansas\_v. Colorado</a>?

MR. SPRONK: Yes, by both states.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And have any of the members of the geological survey been called to testify or was there-- were the data just taken in as data under stipulations, or whatever?

MR. SPRONK: No one has been called.

Generally both states have accepted the data
without question, more or less.

Z

don't want to push to far, but it's an area of interest and curiosity to me, frankly. Were those—did those data have to be heavily supplemented by additional studies, or were those data pretty—relatively fundamental so that they constituted a large bulk of the data utilized?

MR. SPRONK: They constituted a large part of it. There was one area where they were missing data that's in dispute between the two states. It would be in the area of the amount of water pumped from wells within Colorado.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Sure. Thank
you. I want to get into another subject,
but not as lengthy as I did on this question
of capacity. But every year at budget time
we considered the gages, the method of
acquiring data, the kind of data we got,
how good it was, and so forth. Do we need
this year to go through that agony once again,
or are we in a situation that we're rocking
along reasonably well and the numbers of
gages and quality of the materials that we're
getting?

5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1 4	
15	
16	
17	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I haven't really addressed that question. I-specifically, I think we did have a discussion last year as I recall about that matter and I think I recall-- I don't have it right specifically in front of me, that Doug did identify one recommendation from the survey's standpoint in terms of installing an additional DCP as I recall. That's the only thing that I'm aware of that really needs to be considered, if it does.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You've had the advantage of reading the transcript and I haven't. David, do you have any comments on where we are-- we've had that discussion not just last year, but many, many times.

MR. POPE: I'm sorry.

MR. WALKER: Well, Mr. Chairman,

I was going to comment during the budget

discussions, but I'm under the very clear

impression from our state budgetary process

and the status of the state budget that

it will be very difficult to get any increase

in the contribution that Colorado makes to

this or any of our other interstate compact

1	entities. Money is very tight and it is
2.	whereas in the past it was almost automatic
3	to get approval of additional funds.
4	Now, they will be very, very strictly
5	scrutinized.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is there
7	any comment you have just on the subject of
8	qua-gaging and measurement of the river
9	by the USGS that you want to bring up?
0	MR. WALKER: No, I would defer that
1	really to Hal or Bill or Steve. It's really
2	their area of expertise.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Do you have
4	anything to say, Doug, with respect to
5	measurements?
6	MR. CAIN: I think the only one
7	comments I would have, and this is based upon
8	my own perceptions of some of the work that
9	I've seen related to the compact litigation
0	and I guess I would be interested in hearing
1	comments from those who have been more closely
2	involved in that also. But that the it seems
3	that the adequacy of stream flow information
4	on tributary streams, both above the upstream
5	from the irrigated area and near the mouth

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE.: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545 ·

of the tributaries is data that probably
would have been valuable to more adequately
clarify some of the questions in the compact
litigation. That's my own perception and I
would be interested in hearing if that's the
perception of others who have been more closely
involved with that.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The Fountain, any particular tributary.

MR. CAIN: Well, I think most of the tributary is downstream from Pueblo.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, okay.

MR. CAIN: I think Fountain Creek is probably— is one stream that's fairly well gaged in a number of locations. But many of the other tributaries are not well gaged.

item on the agenda before we go to housekeeping, and so forth, is the report by Doug on the-- reports and studies on the Arkansas basin in 1990 and '91. Were there any studies conducted?

MR. CAIN: There's a number of studies that are ongoing and in various stages of completion and I'll try to give you a brief

report on those that I think are of broad enough interest to be of importance to the compact.

One report, that hopefully will be printed by the time this board meets next year, is one that I discussed last year that was-- has been done in conjunction with the Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District, and that is to compile the bibliography of water resources related studies and investigations for the Arkansas River basin.

That was begun in conjunction with a project that we completed several years ago to develope a basin wide model of the Arkansas basin. The reason that it's not published yet is because of— primarily because the publication will be done by the Southeast District and their financial resources have been oriented towards compact litigation for the last two years and so the report has not been published yet. But it is a compilation of over a thousand different citations related to the water resources in the Arkansas basin in Colorado.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Milenski, is

1 there anyone here from the Southeast District, 2 are there board members here from the Southeast 3 District today. 4 MR. MILENSKI: Carl Genova and I. 5 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The two of you are both board--MR. MILENSKI: Oh, Bob Northrup. MR. SIMPSON: And I'm Tom Simpson. 8 Ι 9 work for the Southeast District. 10 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay, fine. 11 Thank you, sir. This business of the bibliography of studies by the survey-- or is 12 13 it limited to the survey? 14 MR. CAIN: No, it's as comprehensive as we could make it. I don't think that you . 15 16 can say that you found every report, but it's 17 not strictly survey reports. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The bibliography 18 19 is a subject that's near and dear to me. aware of some wonderful reports and have copies 20 21 of some. And I know there is -- Carl, there's 22 some marvelous things that have been done and 23 that we have used and I'm always afraid that 24 there may be, back in there, data that has been 25 gathered that has not come to light, or has

1	come to light only to those who researched in
2	it to a great extent. Do you know where this
3	bibliography is in respect to the does any of
4	the three of you know where it is in respect to
5	the budgeting process of the district and so
6	on?
7	MR. SIMPSON: Mr. Chairman, I can't
8	answer that question right now, but I would be
9	happy to get back to you later and try to give
10	you some answer.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I'd sure like,
12	you know, a one paragraph note on the subject.
13	Yes, Mr. Milenski.
14	MR. MILENSKI: I think we have
15	considerably over \$100,000 coop that is going
16	on with the USGS at the present time.
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You understand
18	the thrust of my question was the publication
19	of that bibliography.
20	MR. MILENSKI: When the USGS gets
21	around to it, you'll get a copy of it.
22.	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good.
23	MR. CAIN: You mail out quite a bit of
24	data all the time. Carl, this is a separate
	1

project and based on discussions with Tommy

25

Thompson, we've backburnered, and it's-- my understanding has been that the district has backburnered the actual publication of it, because of budgetary concerns primarily related to the lawsuit. What Frank is-- Milenski has said is definitely true, there are other aspects of the cooperative program between the Southeast District and the USGS that certainly are proceeding.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, I can't imagine anything that would have more bang for the buck than the publication of that bibliography of work that has been done. Is there anything else that you can report to us, or desire to report to us?

MR. CAIN: Yes, I would. We are doing a study also in conjunction with the Southeast District to look at the April 15th date for release— or for evacuation of the joint use pool at John Martin— or excuse me, at Pueblo Reservoir. It's a study that should be completed later this year. We're— we are close to having a draft report of that study.

Just for a little background information, the-- there's a 66,000 acre foot joint use pool

in the Pueblo that can be used to regulate both imported and native waters, during the period of November 15th through April 14th. But based on the original design of Pueblo Dam, the Corps designated April 15th as the date each year when that joint use pool must be evacuated to allow it to be used for flood control storage.

What we have done, or are in the process of completing really is a study to look at whether or not that date might be safely extended for up to a month during certain years based upon the stream flow and the snow pack conditions during those years. We've worked and tried to keep the Corps abreast of that study because the final decision on whether that is appropriate to extend that date or to alter the way that the flood control pool is operated at Pueblo reservoir will be a decision of the Corps of Engineers. But we expect that study— the report of that study to be in draft form within a couple of months.

And the major importance behind that study, initially, was because of spills during some of the higher water years in the-- in the mid '80's where water that had been stored in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pueblo was required to be spilled by the April 15th date.

A couple other studies I'd like to report on, but it might be best to take questions as I go.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, keep going.

MR. CAIN: We are in the process of completing a study and, again, have a draft report nearing the completion. A study that was begun in 1989, really, to look at water use on the Fort Lyon Canal. Which is the largest canal system in the Arkansas basin. objectives of the study were to estimate the quantity of surface water withdrawals, ground water withdrawals, to look at conveyance losses and also consumptive use within the Fort Lyon Canal. That study, again, is nearing completion, and should have a draft report out within a couple of months.

The last study I'd like to report on is one, I think, of fairly major importance to the basin. We began about a year and a half ago a basin wide water quality study of the Arkansas basin in Colorado. And it's being done in conjunction with 15 agencies -- cooperating

agencies, local and federal, primarily within the basin.

The study began-- initially, there was an outgrowth of concerns about potential effects on water quality as many of the water operations-- changes that are going on within the basin, sales and exchanges of water among those. We're about a year and a half through a three year data collection period which includes collection of water quality data at 20 sites on the main stem of the Arkansas River. About 10 of which are within the lower Arkansas, downstream from Pueblo. Thirty-two sites on tributary streams. All of the major transmountain versions and also some mine drainage sites in the upper basin.

The plan for the study is to complete data collection in about a year and a half. And there'll be a report—really a descriptive report on water quality within the Arkansas basin that will be prepared at the end of that time.

The second part of the study is to take much of the information that's collected during that three year period plus data that's being

collected on a routine basis, at stream gaging stations and at continuous reporting water quality monitors throughout the basin, and try to develope a picture of how water operations within the Arkansas basin affect water quality. And that will continue— that part of the study will continue over the next several years.

Those are the-- that's a summary of the primary work that we're doing in the basin right now that I think is of broad enough interest to be important to the compact. Two other reports of studies that were-- that have been completed, have been approved to be published, and should be printed within the next couple of months. One of those, particularly, may be of interest to the compact.

We began in about 1980 doing studies in cooperation with the Department of the Army at Fort Carson, the Canyon maneuver site, which is tributary to the Purgatoire River. The Army acquired about 450 square miles of land within that area for mechanized maneuvers.

We were asked to do two things as part of

-1.3

that, and the first was just to do an assessment of the water resources of that area. And that work was published a couple years ago. The second part of our charge at the maneuver site was to look at the affects of military maneuvers on the water resource of the maneuver site. We have a report that's approved at this point, and will be distributed, that looked at both stream flow and ground water, water quality, and sediment transport on the maneuver site for about 3 years before maneuvers began and about 3 years— it included 3 years after maneuvers began.

The-- I guess in a short sentence to summarize that, given the relatively short period of record after maneuvers, we couldn't distinguish any statistically significant affects of maneuvers on the water resource on that 450 square miles.

We continued to do monitoring and some studies in cooperation with the Army that we anticipate will continue over the long-term to look at those points. So that report will be distributed during the coming year. And I

believe some of the-- at least the Colorado

members of the compact will receive that, and

if there's any interest from other people we'd

be glad to distribute that.

Thank you very much. Are there any questions of Doug or of the-- yes, Carl?

MR. GENOVA: What changes were you anticipating due to these maneuvers?

Sedimentation or--

MR. CAIN: Primarily the concerns were about potential increase in sedimentation and possible changes in water quality, especially salinity. And potential changes in stream flow because of the change in land use from a grazing land use to a land use that involved mechanized military maneuvers.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Any other questions? Thank you very much. Lee Rolfs has the attendance sheet. I -- We are coming to a change in the nature of the program, but I sincerely request that none of you leave the room without signing the sign-up sheet, which I have been too late in circulating. Do not let my delay mean that we don't have your name,

1	because we have an accurate record of most
2	everyone that's come to these meetings for much
3	more than 10 years and we want to preserve that
4	record.
5	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah.
7	MR. POPE: I think there may have been
8	an incorrect comment a minute ago that there
9	was not anyone here from the Kansas U.S.
10	Geological Survey Office. I think I've spotted
11	a gentleman there in the back I don't know
12	whether he has comments to make, but I thought
13	we should clarify that.
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Fine.
15	MR. SAUER: Yeah, I'm Curt Sauer with
16	USGS in Garden City and I have no further
17	comments.
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We're glad
19	you're here and we'll be discussing some money
20	matters with USGS pretty soon, so all the
21	more we're all the more happy that you're
22	here. Pardon me. Bob Jesse, I had a couple of
23	questions that I wanted to ask about the
24	drainage in Leadville. The Leadville Tunnel

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 88812

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

drains along the road to Tennessee Pass just up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the-- on the north end of Leadville. And then there is another bunch of mines that are draining on the highway into Leadville on the south end of town. Is that not right.

MR. JESSE: That's correct. I believe you're referring to the Yak Tunnel and the California Gulf drainage, which is not a Bureau project. The Leadville mine drain tunnel is a Bureau project. We are building a treatment plant that will be immediately under the road-a tunnel, itself, goes under the highway and the treatment plant will be partially visible from the highway. And it will treat through a chemical process the effluent of the Leadville mine drain tunnel. The Yak Tunnel is on the other side of the same mountain, but comes out into California Gulch and is a project that is being conducted by the-- a combination of the EPA and the CERCLA mining people, and is a process of stabilizing the tunnel and then building-- selling the bonds and treatment devices on California Gulf, itself. tunnels kind of come together under the mountain, but they are separate in there-- how they come out of the mountain.

MR. JESSE: I don't know. I think
they're about the same. The-- they both-- both
of the effluent-- all of the effluence of both
of them are high in heavy metal and contain
most every-- most every kind of heavy metal
from arsenic to cadmium of that sort of thing.
And that's what's being treated two different
processes, but the result will be the same.

And the-- one of the goals of the-- when the two are done, should be a-- near a 50 percent reduction in the heavy metal in the upper region of the Arkansas head water and should make the upper Arkansas head water a naturally reproducing brown trout fishery.

the most beautiful minerals in Colorado is ruby silver. Beautiful, beautiful crystal and rubies. And it's silver and arsenic. The town of Silver Plume has these gorgeous minerals in it's tunnels above town, and it's been very difficult in Silver Plume and elsewhere to get a decent water supply. You'd kill anybody with

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1 the arsenic compound. 2 The meeting will go into the -- such matters as the annual report, the auditor's 3 report, and the budget at this time, and I 4 5 would like to suggest that we keep going for another 10 or 15 minutes rather than come-- or 6 7 we can do it when we come back after lunch. 8 What's your pleasure? 9 MR. WALKER: Some of us need to 10 checkout. Is there any difficulty in doing that after noon? Is there a checkout-- what's 11 12 the checkout time? CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I think it might 13 14 be prudent for some of us to checkout now. 15 MR. POPE: I think a break at this 16 point to check out and perhaps lunch may be 17 just as well, but I'm open. It's kind of a 18 natural break, I think. 19 MR. WALKER: Yeah. 20 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It is a nature 21 break. 22 MR. WALKER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I do sincerely 23 24 request those of you who are here, please, wait

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

and sign the sign-up sheet which has gone

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

25

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1 through only part of the-- is into the second 2 row on the right-hand side. Please hang around 3 and sign that sheet. We do want your 4 signature. 5 (THEREUPON, a lunch recess was 6 had). 7 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We are now back and on the record. 8 And after the recess we 9 readjourn the meeting of the Arkansas River Compact Commission. And we're going to deal 10 11 exclusively with housekeeping measures. 12 Principally those shown on 13, 14, and 15 of 13 the agenda. Before we do that, however, is it appropriate for me yet to sign the ribbon copy 14 15 of the transcript from the court reporter of 16 the meeting of December, 1990. 17 MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I think the 18 states have had an opportunity some time ago to 19 exchange our suggestions -- corrections, but we 20 had the final version run just very recently--21 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah. 22 MR. POPE: -- and I guess I would ask 23 David or Steve if they've had a chance to look 24 those over. 25 MR. WALKER: Yeah, we have.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

MR. POPE: Go ahead and sign the three 1 2 copies. 3 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right. And Colorado, we'll take that to be a Yes. 5 unanimous approval for the signature of the Chairman on all three ribbon copies of the 6 7 transcript of last year and I'm doing that right now. 8 9 MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, I--10 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Pope? MR. POPE: I'll ask a question now 11 12 seeking information because I don't know logistically how the rest of this is being 13 handled, but I-- it was our presumption that 14 the original transcript would end up in the 15 recording secretary's office with the official 16 17 files and then we have the two additional 18 copies, certainly one of which --19 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: One ought to go 20 to Kansas, at the least. 21 MR. POPE: I would suggest that. And 22 I assume that Colorado would like to copy. 23 MR. WALKER: Yes. 24 MR. POPE: And if there are others--

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

we've only made three because of the -- official

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

25

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

copies, but certainly that doesn't mean that more can't be distributed if there are others. I didn't know whether you'd like to keep a copy, Mr. Chairman, for example or not.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, I do keep a pretty complete record and have files of the compact going back many years, but some of these came from the General. But, in fact, Ms. Carr and the offices here, should and are the proper repositories for records of the compact administration. And I don't see Mr. -- Well, I see Mr. Montgomery here. I would hope that at the final conclusion of the lawsuit that as much of those records, and some of them are going to be priceless, as may be will be kept at Lamar, Colorado. I understand the attorneys and all litigants, and so forth, have a vested interest in those, but an immense amount of data are generated and I would hope that Lamar would be a repository for a significant amount of that stuff. Do you have anything to say Mr. Montgomery?

MR. MONTGOMERY: Let me just make a comment. I don't think that I'm in a position to make any commitment to do that. Although, I

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

do think there has been a substantial amount of data that has been collected that certainly is available to both states at this time.

We've compiled a set of resolutions effecting the operation of John Martin Reservoir and have provided that to the compact administration members. So I think there is a lot of beneficial data and records that are being compiled that are coming out of this. I will suspect that the State of Colorado and the State of Kansas will want to archive many of the documents and exhibits and I suspect that will be difficult unless there are extra copies to provide everything to the compact administration.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I understand what you're saying and I know that's true. But I'm suggesting in the age of the xerox machine there will be a plethora of other materials and I would hope that as many copies as reasonably can go to Lamar and end up with Bernice, to whom I'm going to hand the original minutes from last year at this time. Thank you, Lee.

The-- let's see, the next item on the agenda is the approval of the 1988 annual

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE.: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1 report. What is the status of the 1988 annual 2 I've seen the thing and I have no 3 corrections with the draft I've seen. 4 MR. MILLER: Well, I guess it's to me 5 to speak here. I confused the issue by sending out a revised table just last week which was 6 7 wrong. And so--8 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You mean it was 9 wrong of you to send it out or it was wrong of 10 you to confuse the issue--11 MR. WALKER: Both. MR. MILLER: I prepared it wrong. 12 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: -- or it was 13 wrong as an ab initio. 14 15 MR. MILLER: So the draft that I sent out approximately November 25th, with the 16 corrections, excluding Table 10, that I 17 mentioned in my December 5th memo, is the way I 18 19 propose to finalize the report. I haven't had any feedback, other than some brief discussions 20 21 with David Pope a minute ago, on either the 22 original submission of a draft or these 23 correction sheets. 24 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: David, I don't 25 see-- Mr. Pope, I don't see how we can approve

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

the report -- or how an approval by us at this time would be meaningful on the way of this to the printers.

MR. POPE: I don't understand your comment, Frank.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Are we so far along that we they can comfortably approve the report at this time.

MR. POPE: I don't know. We-- Steve has clarified questions that we had regarding the additional material submitted. I think-- I presume that-- that in minimum we need to get the revised figures that Steve has offered to send out, subsequent to this meeting, and have a chance to look those over. Procedurally, how we go after that and at what time is another question.

David, I know the work that has gone into this particular copy that we're talking about, the '88, has picked up many errors that have been in the report for 20 years or so. I have the idea that subject to the final revisions we're going to have a better report actually—

MR. WALKER: Yeah.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: than we've
2	had for several years. And therefore, with the
3	understanding that either state may at any time
4	bring forward corrections or infelicities in
5	the report. I think that because of the
6	improvements that Steve has in this report it
7	might very well be appropriate for us to
8	approve it with that understanding.
9	MR. POPE: I think if that if what
10	you're saying is that in essence we can
11	disregard the second transmission.
12	MR. MILLER: Well, the errata page.
13	MR. POPE: Oh, the errata page.
1 4	That's appropriate. And the draft which says
15	with the revised tables we can go back to the
16	original format on the table and correct them
17	accordingly to the numbers.
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Uh-huh.
19	MR. POPE: So those tables now in this
20	year, in the '88 annual report would mirror
21	those in prior years, except for the numbers.
22	MR. WALKER: Yeah.
23	MR. POPE: And subject to if the
24	members of the administration are comfortable

with that I think David and I, perhaps, in our

25

1	respective offices could assume the burden of
2	checking the numbers and having making sure
3	everything is in order and then as a formality
4.	we could probably proceed.
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: That's exactly
6	what I propose we do right now.
7	MR. POPE: If that's acceptable I'm
8	willing to do that.
9	MR. MILLER: I would send a rather
10	than you having to piece together
11	MR. POPE: Send a complete version.
12	MR. MILLER: A complete version,
13	properly typed.
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All the better.
15	Is that a formal resolution that we with
16	those understandings we adopt that we adopt
17	the 1988 annual report.
18	MR. POPE: I would so move with the
19	caveat previously spoken.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Sure.
21	MR. WALKER: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And there's a
23	second. Is Kansas ready to vote?
24	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye".
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado?

1	MR. WALKER: "Aye".
2	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado votes
3	"aye". Fine. I can hardly wait to hear Steve
4	Miller pronounce the word F-I-S-C-A-L. That's
5	going to be the exciting thing to look forward
6	to. It's an inside joke.
7	MR. MILLER: Well, how am I supposed
8	to pronounce it?
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well
10	MR. MILLER: Do you want me to say
11	fiscal?
12	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yeah, that would
13	be great and it would also be a complete
14	innovation.
15	MR. MILLER: I brought extra copies of
16	these are you moving to the budget.
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I think you've
18	moved us to the auditor's no, you've moved us
19	to the auditor's report for '90, '91. Mr.
20	Rogers? That's not the auditor's.
21	MR. ROGERS: No, I've got it right
22	here somewhere, let me dig it out.
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Have you handed
24	this out to each of us?
25	MR. ROGERS: Yes, I think everyone has

1	a copy of this now.
2	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Most of us do.
3	MR. ROGERS: Okay. Most of you do?
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Most of us do.
5	MR. ROGERS: I thought I gave everyone
6	a copy.
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I probably
8	disposed of mine.
9	MR. ROGERS: Okay. If you have looked
10	this over, why, the auditor's summary is that
11	the administration maintains financial records
12	using the cash basis of accounting. By using
13	the cash base of accounting certain revenues
14	and recognitions when received rather than when
15	earned a certain expenses in purchases of the
16	assets are recognized when cash is disbursed
17	rather than when it is obtained. Obligation is
18	incurred. So therefore according to our
19	budget, why, we're within our budget lines.
20	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And do you move
21	the approval of the audit report?
22	MR. ROGERS: I so move.
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Is there
24	a second.
25	MR. HAYZLETT: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right.
2	Thank you. Before we go on, please, turn to
3	the bottom of page four in that report and you
4	will notice that apparently we have in order
5	to reduce our surplus we have budgeted to go
6	into the hole \$14,280 and we have failed in
7	that objective because we've gone into the hole
8	only \$4,941. And, therefore, with respect to
9	the budget, we are operating 9,000 some odd
0	dollars to the good, in that sense of the
1	word. But we have really gone down \$4,900. Is
2	that not the consensus the understanding that
3	we all
4	MR. WALKER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right. It's
6	been moved and seconded that we approve the
7	audit report. Colorado?
8	MR. WALKER: Votes "aye".
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Kansas?
0	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye".
1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: The audit report
2	has been approved, and I would like a copy of
3	that to go to the court reporter. Can one of
4	you sacrifice one.
5	MR. POPE: Chairman

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1	(THEREUPON, an off-the-record
2	discussion was had).
3	MR. POPE: Chairman, just for the I
4	think it needs to be appended. I think we have
5	labeled that earlier in the discussions
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes.
7	MR. POPE: As
8	MR. ROGERS: Item B.
9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: B.
10	MR. POPE: As item B.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right.
12	MR. POPE: So I think it's already
13	appropriately labeled. And the cash statement
14	as I recall is C. I think we also attached
15	that, too.
16	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: One moment.
17	(THEREUPON, an off-the-record
18	discussion was had).
19	MR. POPE: Do they match? Is that
20	what you're trying to tell me?
21	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: I only had eight
22	pieces or I only had five pieces of paper. I
23	lost one of them and that threw me off.
24	MR. POPE: I thought we had already
25	acted on this. I guess I was out of order.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 88612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1	Apparently it was the cash statement treasurers
2	report that we acted on earlier this morning.
3	Is that correct, Jim?
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We may well have
5	approved
6	MR. ROGERS: I think we did.
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: the audit
8	twice, but I regard that as no great harm done.
9	MR. POPE: I think it was the
10	treasurers report that we apparently intended
11	to approve, whether we did or not
12	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. Now
13	then, in affect the point was that Bill
1 4	McDonald, bless him for all his other good or
15	evil qualities, had his own unique
16	pronunciation of the word F-I-S-C-A-L.
17	MR. WALKER: That's true. That's
18	true.
19	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It was something
20	between physical and fisical (sic) and it was a
21	source of unending amusement and it's gone.
22	MR. MILLER: I'm sorry.
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We're into
24	another age now apparently. Steve, I would
25	like you to lead us through the budget and make

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 66612

1	sure that we're all turned to the same the
2	same page and so on.
3	MR. MILLER: I have extra copies of
4	what we mailed out if that would help anybody.
5	MR. POPE: I think for the if
6	they're the same as what was mailed out, I have
7	it.
8	MR. MILLER: They are.
9	MR. POPE: We need a copy for the
10	court reporter, I think.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Yes.
12	MR. MILLER: Do you want me to give it
13	to her now or
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Why not.
15	MR. MILLER: I'm not giving anything
16	out until called to from now on.
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: That's right.
18	It causes all kinds of mischief. All right.
19	Now, Steve.
20	MR. MILLER: I'll do this by physical
21	(sic) year.
22	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Here we go
23	again.
24	MR. POPE: Tradition.
25	MR. MILLER: The commission or the

2.4

	1
	administration currently has 3 budgets already
	approved. And those are fiscal year '91-'92
i	budget, which was adopted in 1989 and revised
	in 1990. It covers expenditures until June
;	1990 through June 1992. We sent that out to
,	you as the first page, with our recommendation
	that it did not need to be changed. It's
}	customary to look at it and decide if you're
•	going to be within it or without it. We think
	you'll be within it. Individual line items
	might shift around, but the bottom line should
,	stay close enough that it's not worth revising.
	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And the bottom
	line is that we lose \$11,300.
	MR. MILLER: Total. Incidently, if
	you're interested in why we didn't lose the
	14,000
	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: No, that's
,	past. Too late.
	MR. MILLER: So we sent you that. We
	want you to look at it. And we don't believe
	it needs to be changed.
	The next budget that's out there is the
	fiscal year '92-'93 budget that you first
	. approved last December. The version with Jim

Rogers	s sigr	ature	at 1	the	botto	mi	s wha	at's	
currer	ntly b	een ap	prov	∕ed.	Τhe	ne	xt pa	age w	e
have,	with	handwr	itte	en a	dditi	ons.	, the	e way	we
think	that	should	bе	rev	ised	at	this	meet	ing
today.									

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: There is nothing on the page with the handwritten additions that isn't on the previous page.

MR. WALKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: There's nothing that -- on the corrected page that wasn't already on the first page. So we can turn to the handwritten corrected page.

MR. MILLER: That's right.

MR. WALKER: Yeah.

MR. MILLER: The second page of the set what's currently— the third page of the set is what Colorado proposed needed to be done to that budget to bring it into line with what you'll actually be spending in '92-'93. Those handwritten numbers— and my copy here looks like the copier ran off the edge. It may not be entirely clear. I can walk you through those. I haven't had any feedback.

MR. WALKER: I have one question, Mr.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE: TOPEKA, KS. 88812

I	Chairman. On we have under C-2 C yeah,
2	C-2 2, '89, '90, and '91 annual reports, but I
3	also see on the '91-'92 budget the '89-'90
4	annual report. Isn't that double counting?
5	MR. MILLER: No, that was one of the
6	changes when I said individual line items might
7	change, but the bottom line shouldn't change.
8	MR. WALKER: Yeah, but a \$5,000 hit is
9	a
10	MR. MILLER: Well, here's how I
11	figured it would balance. I don't think the
12	interest rates are now
13	MR. WALKER: Right.
14	MR. MILLER: where they were when
15	we made this budget.
16	MR. WALKER: That's true.
17	MR. MILLER: So I thought we would
18	lose some income on interest and we would save
19	some money on printing.
20	MR. WALKER: And it will all come out
21	in the auditor's report.
22	MR. MILLER: It will come out about
23	the same for that year.
24	MR. WALKER: Okay.
25	MR. MILLER: And we hope to accelerate

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545 .

our printing expenditures in the next year by cranking out these reports that are backlogged.

MR. WALKER: I would certainly hope so in the interest of a diligent staff.

So thrilled that we were— we are where we were five years ago. When the budget is presented half the compact administration are nodding their heads sagely, yes, good idea. And the other half have these blank stares that you can associate only with total confusion. I have always been in the second category. The sage people, for the record, stenographer, are nodding their heads in agreement.

MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, in an essence of time, it's appropriate—— I think Steve has taken a look at the numbers. What he's proposed seems to make sense to me. I'm certainly appreciative of the fact that we have some catching up to do in terms of the annual reports. And in light of that, I move that we approve the proposed amendment to the FY '90——1992—'93 budget as contained in the handout.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Walker?

MR. WALKER: Second.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is Kansas ready
2	to vote?
3	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye".
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Kansas votes
5	"aye".
6	MR. WALKER: Colorado votes "aye".
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado votes
8	"aye". We now pass from the handwritten
9	corrections '92-'93 to the '93-'94, which I
10	take it to be new cloth entirely.
11	MR. MILLER: Exactly. And I had sent
1 2	out a long sized chart just to give you we
13	don't need to look at that, maybe we better
1 4	not. But if anyone was interested in what the
15	budget trends were, why the numbers, for the
16	most part, were able to stay exactly where they
17	have been for a long time
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We have some of
19	these that have been reduced in the xerox
2 0	machine and some are at length. The original
21	ones were on legal paper, so called, and the
22	others have been reduced in the xerox process.
23	Okay. Keep on going.
24	MR. MILLER: I would say if you
25	haven't looked at what's called ARCA Annual

1	Budget and Assessments, dated 11-26-91, by now,
2	don't look at it now, but it was more to give
3	you a history.
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: When ignorance
5	is bliss, t'is folly to be wise.
6	MR. MILLER: The long and the short of
7	that handout was that most of your expenses
8	have stayed within budget for a long time. We
9	didn't need to change any of them other than
10	USGS.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Always goes up.
12	MR. MILLER: Always goes up slightly.
13	Which incidently has gone up a couple hundred
14	dollars in the one that you've just approved.
15	Although, I don't know that you've approved the
16	cooperative agreement, though.
17	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: We're trapped
18	into it, we've approved the budget which
19	incorporates it. We're too late to turn back.
20	MR. GENOVA: Any projection for the
21	'93-'94 budget, the printing of the '91 annual
22	report is at 4,000. Is 4,000 enough?
23	MR. MILLER: Yeah. The set that I
24	brought down here was printed sometime last
25	year and that was done for about 25 to 28

1	hundred dollars, as I understand it. I have
2	not gone out and checked bids for printers, I
3	just
4	MR. WALKER: We may want to do that.
5	Okay. But that
. 6	MR. MILLER: I'm also hoping that
7	maybe we can get a package rate for doing about
8	four of these things in a one year period.
9	MR. GENOVA: I was just questioning
10 .	about three or four three years down the
11	line, we're going to be
12	MR. MILLER: Right.
13	MR. GENOVA: able to do that.
14	MR. MILLER: I'm guessing we could.
15	There's a thousand dollars contingency.
16	MR. WALKER: Yeah.
17	MR. MILLER: So I have that's
18	actually more, I think, than we put in for a
19	single years printing before. That's gone up a
20	little bit. We tried to hold the state
21	assessments about level. There was a slight
22	increase there of 600 for Colorado and 400 for
23	Kansas and the rest came out of surplus.
24	There is a chart here that shows you where the
25	surplus fund is headed. And I think once we

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

get it down for the 9-- hit the \$9,000 level, that's about where you want to hold it. I'm not concerned with that we can address that next year. If we can spend it the way we're budgeting it right now you'll have 10,000 in the bank as of-- June 30th, 1994.

that let posterity take care of itself, I think that— that these budgets have excess cuts in the balance. I never approved cutting the budget— my own view, cutting the budget so—surplus so far back. But— and I suppose if you wanted to carp about it this meeting would be the one to do so. But how can one object to a reduction in budget of only 2,000— reserves of only 2,605 American bucks when there's still so much in the budget.

MR. WALKER: Exactly.

MR. POPE: I think I have one quick question, but I'm basically pretty comfortable with what's been proposed here. Just looking at— the primary item really goes up in the satellite system and I take it, Steve, that there's some built—in cost expected by the time we get to '93-'94 for that particular—

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 66612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

2.3

	MR. MILLER: The number from GS 14155,
that's a	number they provided to us in writing.
	MR. POPE: I was referring to the
state.	•

MR. WALKER: State.

MR. MILLER: The number below that, I called the State Engineers Office who operates that and they're currently under some pressure to develope rules and regulations. Is— that will force them to recover more of their actual cost. The state will not subsidize with general revenue. That was only a prediction, he said it certain can't go up any higher than that when these rules and regs go out. But he couldn't guarantee that it would stay the same. I think it's gone up twice probably in the last five years.

MR. POPE: Yeah, I think if we just look back at the '91-'92 it was 8,000, and I think we've got 9,000 in each of the two subsequent years and then we go to 10. Those aren't unreasonable increases, but they're some increases. I'm willing to pass on it and see what the final numbers end up being in case we have an opportunity to look at this again next

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

1515 S. TOPEKA AVE. TOPEKA, KS. 86612

TELEPHONE (913) 232-2545

1	year.
2	MR. MILLER: We should know more. I
3	don't know if you actually sign an agreement
4	with them.
5	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You have to each
6	year in advance, but the some of the
7	increases by the USGS have been significant in
8	the past two or 3 years. Some of those jumps
9	are healthy.
10	MR. POPE: Yeah.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is Colorado
12	ready to move on the proposed '93-'94 budget?
13	MR. WALKER: I am. I move that we
14	adopt the '93-'94 budget as presented.
15	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: As presented.
16	Is there a second?
17	MR. HAYZLETT: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: All right.
19	Thank you, Randy. Colorado?
20	MR. WALKER: Votes "aye".
21	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Kansas?
22	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye".
23	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Good job.
24	MR. MILLER: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Not in the

1	tradition of the compact administration, but a
2	good job, nevertheless.
3	MR. POPE: We offer our thanks as well
4	for the work that Steve Miller has put into
5	this. I would like, just a matter of record
6	keeping, suggest that we make this packet that
7	we sent out well, as dated November, 21,
8	1991, that whole packet of the series of
9.	budgets
10	MR. WALKER: She has it.
11	MR. POPE: I think that the next
12	Exhibit G. I think
13	MR. WALKER: G, okay, that's the top
14	one there.
15	(THEREUPON, an off-the-record
16	discussion was had).
17	MR. MILLER: I guess it's customary
18	for us now to go back and take that exhibit,
19	type in the handwritten changes, send them to
20	Mr. Rogers for signature, and then distribute
21	them.
2.2	MR. WALKER: Yes.
23	MR. ROGERS: That's right. That's the
24	way it's been done in the past.
25	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. MacDougall?

¥

MR. MACDOUGALL: Sir.

### NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

25

MR. MILLER: Then I haven't seen it.

1	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's a boiler
2	plate three page
3	MR. MILLER: We haven't seen that.
4	MR. WALKER: And we have
5	MR. POPE: I haven't seen the boiler
6	plate language, but I think we have the numbers
. 7	that have been proposed that would be necessary
8	to fund the offering.
9	MR. WALKER: Yeah.
10	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Give me the
11	numbers is there one from each state?
12	MR. MILLER: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: What are those
1 4	numbers? For Colorado first.
15	MR. MILLER: Well, if Doug can give it
16	to you quicker than I can find it that's fine
1 7	with me, but I should have
18	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: He may not have.
19	MR. CAIN: There's only two numbers
20	here one is
21	MR. MILLER: Here we are.
22	MR. CAIN: with consideration of
23	putting in a data collection platform at Lamar
24	and that would be 12,000 from the compact and
25	without that it would be \$8,250.

1	MR. POPE: What was the last figure,
2	Dou <b>g.</b>
3	MR. CAIN: \$8,250.
4	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Well, did we
5	make a decision on a platform at Lamar?
6	MR. POPE: Not yet.
7	MR. MILLER: Well, we did when you
8	approved the budget, I believe. The budget
9	figure I gave you was without the data
10	collection.
11	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Was without
12	MR. MILLER: Without the data
13	collection.
14	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: the platform.
15	Okay. And I take it that that is consistent
16	with the wisdom of the general assembly.
17	MR. MILLER: Well, I think it was more
1.8	consistent with the wisdom of the past
19	deliberations by this administration and by
20	some consultations between Colorado and our
21	state engineer as to the need for the
22	platform. We have not consulted with Kansas,
23	but the matter was considered by, I think, last
24	year and was not
25	MR. CAIN: The matter was considered

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last	year	and a o	decision w	as delayed	l because
there	didn	't seer	n to be ad	equate tim	ie to
consi	der i	t adequ	lately. A	nd I guess	I would
respe	ctful	ly requ	est from	this group	thạt full
consi	derat	ion be	given sin	ce this su	ıbject has
been	broug	ht up	twice befo	re without	full
consi	derat	ion.	I think it	's only pa	oper that
that	consi	deratio	on be give	n and a fi	111
discu	ssion	be had	i before a	decision	is made.

CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Tell me two things. First, what the present measuring devices at Lamar are, which are intended to be upgraded by a platform, and secondly, briefly what the nature of the platform is.

MR. CAIN: One point of clarification. The data collection platform is not a measuring device. It's a device to transmit information. There would not be a change to the measuring device at the station, which I believe is a mercury manometer. The idea of putting a data collection platform in would be so that our office, for one, and anybody else who has access to that information would be able to have that information instantaneously. I guess, from our standpoint

1	we feel like that would help us to keep better
2	track of what's happening at that station. To
3	make measurements as appropriate, with better
4	information, would probably provide a more
5	accurate record.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Is this a
7	capital item of almost 4,000 bucks?
8	MR. WALKER: Yes.
9	MR. CAIN: Yes, correct.
10	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And what are the
11	, maintenance or operational consequences of that
12	capital expenditure in subsequent years?
13	MR. CAIN: The way that it's proposed,
14	and in the letter that was sent out, would be
1,5	that installation would be an initial cost of
16	\$7,000 which would be split equally between the
17	compact and the survey. An annual operating
18	cost would be \$500 for this year and then that
19	would be the only cost it would carry in future
20	years.
21	MR. WALKER: Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Walker.
23	MR. WALKER: With due respect to our
24	relationship with Geological Survey we are well

aware-- I think this has been discussed.

25

1	concern is not so much with the merit of the
2	proposal, but with the simple fact that I am
3	unprepared to ask the general assembly to
4	increase our contribution to the administration
5	by that amount.
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Pope, I
7	think that comment is dispositive of the
8	question for this year and we don't need to
9	consider it further for this year. Try next
10	two or three years. It's say not the struggle,
11.	not avail it. Maybe you'll wear them down.
12	MR. CAIN: Okay.
	•
13	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. The
13	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. The budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in
14	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in
14 15	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas
14 15 16	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?
14 15 16 17	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?  MR. MILLER: Yes, I do.
14 15 16 17	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?  MR. MILLER: Yes, I do.  MR. POPE: Which fiscal year are we
14 15 16 17 18	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?  MR. MILLER: Yes, I do.  MR. POPE: Which fiscal year are we speaking of on that particular number.
14 15 16 17 18 19	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?  MR. MILLER: Yes, I do.  MR. POPE: Which fiscal year are we speaking of on that particular number.  MR. CAIN: The billing for that would
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	budget item is therefore for Colorado \$8,250 in American money. Do you have the Kansas figure?  MR. MILLER: Yes, I do.  MR. POPE: Which fiscal year are we speaking of on that particular number.  MR. CAIN: The billing for that would occur in October of '92, so whereever that

MR.

POPE:

For the compact fiscal

25

1 year. MR. MILLER: Yeah, ARCA fiscal year 2 and that number is the number that's in the 3 budget you just approved -- just revised for 4 192-193. 5 MR. POPE: Yeah. 6 MR. MILLER: I used those--7 8 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: You used the figure \$8,250. 9 MR. MILLER: For the Colorado USGS and 10 11 \$4,975 for the Kansas USGS. 12 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Can any one 13 verify that \$4,975. 14 MR. CAIN: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: And that's Okay. I would entertain a motion 16 correct. 17 that the secretary of the compact 18 administration be authorized to enter into the 19 formal cooperative agreements of \$8,250 for Colorado -- for Colorado as a participating 20 21 share and \$4,975 for the participating share in 22 the State of Kansas. Would someone make that 23 motion? 24 MR. WALKER: Colorado so moves. 25 CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been

1	moved. Is there a second.
2	MR. POPE: Kansas or I would second.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: It's been
4	seconded. Colorado?
5	MR. WALKER: Votes "aye".
6	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Colorado votes
7	"aye".
8	MR. POPE: Kansas votes "aye.
. 9	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Kansas votes
10	"aye" . Those operating agreements may be
11	signed on behalf of the compact administration.
12	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, this is a
13	strictly housekeeping thing I just dawned on
1 4	me as I was looking through the pages on the
15	handout we just approved in the form of the
16	budgets, that each of the years, as you flip
17	through pages, refer to the cooperative
18	agreements of the federal fiscal year 1990,
19	each succeeding year that the number of
20	fiscal year should be advanced one.
21	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay.
22	MR. WALKER: Yes, indeed.
23	MR. POPE: A typing thing.
24	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: A delicious
25	typo.

1	MR. WALKER: Did you catch that Steve.
2	MR. MILLER: Yes, I did.
3	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Delicious.
4	. CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Now, Mr. Witte?
5	MR. WITTE: I don't believe I have
6	anything else, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Mr. Robbins,
8	you've be quiescent for the duration of this
9	entire effort to please.
1.0	MR. ROBBINS: I'm quite satisfied, Mr.
11	Chairman. Thank you very much.
12	CHAIRMAN COOLEY: Okay. He tends
13	to remain quiescent. Anything else? Hearing
1 4	nothing. The meeting is adjourned.
15	
16	
1 7	•
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	STATE OF KANSAS ) ) ss:
3	COUNTY OF SHAWNEE )
4	I, Jana L. Willard, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, in and for the State of Kansas, duly
6	commissioned as such by the Supreme Court of
7	the State of Kansas, do hereby certify that I
8	was present at and reported in shorthand the
9	foregoing proceedings had at the aforementioned
10	time and place; further that the foregoing 164
11	pages is a true and correct transcript of my
12	notes requested transcribed.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed
14	my Official Seal this day of
15	, 1992.
16	
17	
18	
19	Jana L. Willard CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
20	
2. 1	
2 2	
23	
24	
25	

#### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION

307 South Fifth Street LAMAR, COLORADO 81052

COLORADO DAYID W. WALKER, Deriver CARL GENOVA, Pumblo JAMES G. ROGERS, Lamer

FRANK G. COOLEY
Chairman and Federal Representative
P.O. Box 98
Heeker, Colorado

KANSAS DAVID L. POPE, Topeka RAMDY HAYZLETT, Lakin LOLA FOX, Syracuse

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

Arkansas River Compact Administration

8:30 a.m. (MST), Tuesday, December 10, 1991

<u>Cow Palace Inn</u>

Lamar, Colorado

The annual meeting of the Administration will be held at the time and place noted above. The meeting will be recessed for the lunch hour at about noon and reconvened for the completion of business in the afternoon if necessary.

The tentative agenda for the meeting, which is subject to change, is as follows:

- Call to order and introductions
- 2. Approval of agenda
- 3. Approval of transcript for the December, 1990, annual meeting
- 4. Reports of officers for compact year 1991
  - a. Chairman
  - b. Recording Secretary
  - c. Treasurer
  - d. Operations Secretary
  - 5. Committee reports for compact year 1991
    - a. Administrative and legal
    - b. Engineering
    - c. Operations
  - 6. Purgatorie River Water Conservancy District
    - a. proposed amendments to the Trinidad Reservoir Project operating principles
    - b. request to establish an account at John Martin Reservoir

- 7. City of Trinidad: proposed amendments to the Trinidad Reservoir Project operating principles
- 8. Status report: Kansas v. Colorado litigation
- 9. Election of officers for compact year 1992
  - a. Vice-chairman
  - b. Recording Secretary
  - c. Treasurer
  - d. Operations Secretary
- 10. Appointment of committee members for compact year 1992
  - a. Administrative and legal
  - b. Engineering
  - c. Operations
- 11. Reports of federal agencies
  - a. Bureau of Reclamation
  - b. Corps of Engineers
  - c. Geological Survey
- 12. USGS Reports and Studies Conducted in the Arkansas River Basin 1990-1991
- 13. Approval of 1988 Annual Report
- 14. Auditor's report for FY 1990-91
- 15. Budget matters:
  - a. Review of current fiscal year budget
  - b. USGS Cooperative Agreement
  - c. Review of previously adopted FY 1992-93 budget and assessments
  - d. Adoption of FY 1993-94 budget and assessments
- 16. Adjournment

# ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION CASH BASIS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 1991

## ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION TABLE OF CONTENTS June 30, 1991

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Statement of Assets and Liabilities - Cash Basis	2
Statement of Revenues Collected and Expenses Paid and Changes in Cash Balance	3
Statement of Revenues Collected and Expenses Paid with Budget Comparison	2
Notes to Cash Basis Statements	5



Members NSPA PASC Certified Public Accountants

Gary L. Anderson, C.P.A. Ronald D. Anderson, P.A.

October 17, 1991

We have audited the accompanying statements of assests, liabilities and equity - cash basis - of the Arkansas River Compact Administration as of June 30, 1991, and the related statements of revenue collected and expenses paid for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Administration's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

As described in Note 1a, these financial statements were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the assets and liabilities - cash basis - of the Arkansas River Compact Administration as of June 30, 1991 and its revenue collected and expenses paid during the year then ended, on the basis of accounting described in Note 1a.

Unclesson & Company, P.C.

### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - CASH BASIS June 30, 1991

#### <u>ASSETS</u>

Cash Equipment Concrete Control	\$ 29.949 29,811 8,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 67,760
LIABILITIES	·
Liabilities	\$ 0
CASH BASIS EQUITY	
Expended:     Equipment     Concrete Control Unexpended	\$ 29,811 8,000 29,949
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CASH BASIS EQUITY	<u>\$ 67,760</u>

## ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION STATEMENT OF REVENUES COLLECTED AND EXPENSES PAID and CHANGES IN CASH BALANCE For the Year Ended June 30, 1991

CASH BALANCE - July 1. 1990		\$ 34,890
REVENUES		
Revenues from Assessments Colorado - 60% Kansas - 40% Interest Payroll Tax Refund	\$ 15,000 10,000 2,302 515	
TOTAL REVENUES		27,817
<u>EXPENSES</u>		
Treasurers Bond Geological Survey Satellite Access Fee Operations Secretary Printing Annual Report - 1987 Office Rent Auditor Fee Office Supplies and Postage Copying Meeting Expense Court Reporter Fee Telephone Recording Secretary and Treasurer	\$ 100 11,830 8,000 3,602 2,557 600 350 174 132 199 643 1,071 3,500	·
TOTAL EXPENSES		32.758
EXCESS OF EXPENSES OVER REVENUES		( 4,941)
CASH BALANCE - June 30, 1991		\$ 29,949

## ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION STATEMENT OF REVENUES COLLECTED and EXPENSES PAID WITH BUDGET COMPARISON For the Budget Year July 1, 1990 to June 30, 1991

	ACTUAL	BUDGET	OVER (UNDER)
REVENUES			
Revenues from Assessments:			
Colorado - 60%	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 0
Kansas - 40%	10,000	10,000	0
Interest	2,302	1,500	802
Miscellaneous	<u>515</u>	0	515
TOTAL REVENUES	27,817	26,500	1,317
EXPENSES			
U.S. Geological Survey	\$ 11,830	\$ 11,830	\$ 0
Satellite Access Fee	8,000	8,000	0
Operation Secretary	3,602	6,100	(2,498)
Treasurers Bond	100	100	0
Telephone	1,071	1,000	71
Court Reporter Fee	643	600	43
Recording Secretary & Treasurer	3,500	3,000	500
Meeting Expense	199	150	49
Auditor Fee	350	700	( 350)
Office Supplies & Postage	174	400	( 226)
Printing and Copying Printing Annual Reports -	132	300	( 168)
1988 and 1989	0	7,000	(7,000)
Print Annual Report- 1987	2,557	7,000 0	2,557
Office Rent	600	600	2,337
Contingency	0	1,000	(1,000)
TOTAL EXPENSES	32,758	40,780	(8,022)
BUDGET DEFICIT	\$( 4,94 <u>1</u> )	<u>\$(14,280</u> )	\$ 9,33 <u>9</u>

#### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION NOTES TO CASH BASIS STATEMENTS June 30, 1991

#### NOTE 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies:

a. The Administration maintains financial records using the cash basis of accounting. By using the cash basis of accounting, certain revenues are recognized when received rather than when earned, and certain expenses and purchases of assets are recognized when cash is disbursed rather than when the obligation is incurred.

#### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION

307 South Fifth Street LAMAR, COLORADO 81052

COLORADO J. WILLIAM McDONALD, Denver CARL GENOVA, Pueblo JAMES G. ROGERS, Lamar Treasurer

FRANK & COOLEY Chairman and Federal Representative P.O. Box 98 Meeker, Colorado 81641

KANSAS DAVID L. POPE, Topoke CARL E. BENTRUP, Deerfield Vice Chairman RON OLOMON, Garden City

#### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION

## STATEMENT OF CASH RECEIPTS & DISBURSEMENTS & CHANGE IN CASH BALANCE

FROM JULY 1, 1991 THRU DECEMBER 9, 1991

FROM JULI 1,	1991 THRU DECEMBER	3, 1331	
CASH BALANCE: July 1, 1991	·		\$29,948.83
RECEIPTS:			
Colorado	\$15,750.00		
Kansas	10,500.00		
Interest Earned since July l	991.37		
TOTAL RECEIPTS		\$27,241.37	
DISBURSEMENTS:			
Refund-Leo Idler- SS overpay- ment-1986	\$ 257.40		
Treasurer's Bond	100.00		
U. S. Geological Survey	7,675.00		
Salaries	2,000.00		
Telephone	445.49		
Office Rent	300.00		
Postage	80.00		
Supplies & Copies	58.05		
Audit	350.00		
Operations Secretary Account	3,604.15		
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS		\$14,870.09	
EXCESS RECEIPTS OVER DISBURSEMENTS			<u>\$12,371.</u> 28
CASH BALANCE:			\$42,320.11
FUNDS ON HAND:			
Checking Account		\$ 210.08	
Money Market Account		42,110.03	

Checking Account	\$	210.08
Money Market Account	42	,110.03

TOTAL \$42,320.11

## ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION 307 South Fifth Street

LAMAR, COLORADO 81052

COLORADO J. WILLIAM McDONALD, Denver CARL GENOVA, Pueble JAMES Q. ROGERS, Lamar Tressurer

#### FRANK G. COOLEY Chairman and Federal Representative P.O. Bex 98 Meeker, Colorade &1641

KANSAS DAVID L. POPE, Topoka CARL E. BENTRUP, Deerfield Vice Chairman RON OLOMON, Garden City

#### CHECKS WRITTEN SINCE JUNE 30, 1991

			CHK	OHDORD WILLIAM DING	30, 1771	
	DATE	1991	<u>NO.</u>	PAYABLE TO:	FOR	AMOUNT
	July	10	263	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-July \$	
	11	10	264	James G. Rogers	½ annual salary-'91-92 yr.	1,000.00
	11	10	265	Bernice R. Carr	annual salary-'91-92 yr.	1,000.00
	If	10	266	Leo Idler	Refund   overpayment-Social	257.40
					Security tax-1986 yr.	
	July	10	267	Gobin's	Copying & storage boxes	28.34
	II	10	268	U S West	Phone 719-336-2422	62.58
	<b>f</b> 1	10	269	Void		
	11	10	270	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone charges	58.15
	tt ·	10	271	First Federal Bank	Petty cash fund-postage	40.00
	Aug.	8	272	Eastman, Inc.	Notebooks & sheet lifters	205.50
	11	8	273	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-August	50.00
	tt	8	274	U S West	Ph. 719-336-2422	64.25
_	11	8	275	Gobin's Inc.	Manila envelopes & supplies	9.30
	) <u>i</u> i	8	276	Guaranty Abstract Co.	PremBond Position Schedule	100.00
	Ť	8	277	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone charges	50.33
	11	8	278	Aberdeen	Computer-Oper. Secretary	1,220.12
	Sept.		279	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-September	50.00
	11	9	280	AT&T	Quarterly phone rental	23.10
	u	9	281	U S West	Ph. 719-316-2422	61.22
	11	9	282	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone charges	192.43
	Oct.	3	283	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-October	50.00
	11	3	284	Michael Graber	Mobile phone-July & Aug. '91	43.90
	11	3	285	Western Temporary Services, Inc.	Receptionist-Fall Water Comm. Mtg	52.24
	II.	3	286	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone charges	168.81
	TŦ	3	287	Michael Graber	Mobile phone-Sept.	21.95
	$\mathbf{n}_{\perp}$	3	288	Best Buy Computers	Hard drive controller	214.00
	IT	3	289	U S West	Ph. 719-336-2422	53.18
	Nov.	5	290	Dept. of Interior-U.S.	Operation & Maintenance-6 stream	7,675.00
		_	-	Geological Survey	flow gaging stations in Colorado	
	Nov.	5	291	Tredex	Mitsubishi 286 computer	719.99
	II	5	292	Compuadd	Modem-Inv. #6268731	46.50
	ш	8	293	Cellular One	Mobile phone charges	78.40
	11	8	294	National Computer ribbons	Computer ribbons	133.87
	re .	8	295	Anderson & Company P.C.	1990-91 Audit	350.00
	79	8	296	U S West	Ph. 719-336-2422	63.33
	10	8	297	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-November	50.00
	tt	8	298	Compuadd	2 Modems-Inv. 6283297	93.00
	0 :	12	29 <b>9</b>	Michael Graber	Mobile phone service	21.95
_		12	300	Best Buy Computers, Inc.	Computer reset-Inv.1028910	35.00
		12	301	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone charges	52.42
-		TOTAL			. \$	14,446.26

### ARKANSAS RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION

307 South Fifth Street LAMAR, COLORADO 81052

COLORADO

J. WILLIAM McDONALD, Denver
CARL GENOVA, Pueblo
JAMES G. ROGERS, Lamar
Transurer

FRANK Q, COOLEY Cheirmen and Federal Representative P.O. Box 98 Meeker, Calorado 81841 KANSAS
DAVID L. POPE, Topeke
CARL E. BENTRUP, Deerfield
Vice Cheirmen
RON OLOMON, Garden City

#### CHECKS WRITTEN SINCE JUNE 30, 1991

		CHK	<del></del>		
DATE	•	NO.	PAYABLE TO	FOR .	AMOUNT
Dec.	9		TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS CARRIED F	ORWARD FROM PAGE 1	\$14,446.26
Dec.	9	302	Lower Arkansas Water Mgmt.	Office rent-December	50.00
11	9	303	First Federal Bank	Petty Cash Fund-Postage	40.00
10	9	304	Gobin's	Misc. Office supplies	20.41
(I	9	305	U S West	Ph. 719-336-2422	94.73
11	9	306	AT&T	Quarterly phone rental	23.10
	9	307	Michael Graber	Mobile phone services-Nov. '91	21.95
11	9	308	CompuAdd	MS DOS Upgrade-Inv.6290092	44.00
11	9	309	Best Buy Computers, Inc.	10' printer cable-Inv. 2503	29.95
11	9	310	Cellular One	Mobile phone service-E.Taylor	63.30
Dec.	9	311	Cellular, Inc.	Mobile phone service	36.39
Dec.	ec. 9-1991		TOTAL EXPENSES PAID	·	\$14,870.09
		-	TOTAL METERS ALLES		_

## $\mathcal{D}$

LAW OFFICES

## M.E. MACDOUGALL 102 NORTH CASCAGE AVENUE SUITE 208

#### COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80903-1414

M.E. MACDOUGALL JULIANNE M. CRUISE

November 8, 1991

TELEPHONE (719) 520-9268 FAX (719) 520:9447

Frank G. Cooley, Esq. Chairman and Federal Representative Arkansas River Compact Administration Post Office Box 98 Meeker, CO 81641

> Re: Proposed Amended Operating Principles - Trinidad Project - Administration Agenda December 10, 1991

Dear Mr. Cooley:

This letter supplements my letter of November 1, 1991, on the above subject.

It is possible that I will be in trial in Salida on December 10, 1991, and my associate - Miss Julianne Cruise - may appear before the Compact Administration. I know the Administration will treat her with its exemplary courtesy and consideration.

Meanwhile, I have prepared the following lists of items in the record, and for the record, to try to make the job of the District, the Chair and Members of the Compact Administration, easier, and the meeting better. I suggest the following are, or should be, in the record:

A. Items admitted to the record, and items officially noticed for the record, at meeting of December 12, 1989:

Exhibit D (letter dated November 2, 1989, to Frank G. Cooley from M.E. MacDougall with enclosures);

Exhibit E (letter dated November 17, 1989, to Frank G. Cooley from David L. Pope);

Exhibit F (letter dated November 28, 1989, to Frank G. Cooley from M.E. MacDougall with enclosures);

Exhibit G (letter dated November 20, 1989, to M.E. MacDougall from J. William McDonald);

Frank G. Cooley, Esq. November 8, 1991 Page Two

Exhibit H (letter dated December 9, 1989, to Frank G. Cooley from M.E. MacDougall with enclosures);

Exhibit I (letter dated November 22, 1989, to Roger K. Patterson from Mike Hayden, Governor of Kansas);

"Final Report" - Review of Operating Principles - Trinidad Project, Colorado, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation - December, 1988 (presented to the Compact Administration at the annual meeting in 1988. Requested by the Compact Administration at its annual meeting in 1984).

U.S.G.S. Water Supply Papers 2200 and 2253.

House Document No. 325, 54th Congress, Second Session, U.S. Govt. Printing Office January 30, 1956;

Minutes of Meeting, December 12, 1989 - Especially the part related to the Proposed Amendments to the Trinidad Project Operating Principles.

B. Items from the record of the meeting of December 11,

1990:

Letter dated November 9, 1990, from M.E. MacDougall to Frank G. Cooley, with enclosures;

All items admitted and noticed at the December 12, 1989, meeting.

Minutes of Meeting, December 11, 1990 - Especially the part related to the Proposed Amendments to the Trinidad Project Operating Principles.

C. Items for the record on December 10, 1991:

Letter dated November 1, 1991, from M.E. MacDougall to Frank G. Cooley;

This letter;

Frank G. Cooley, Esq. November 8, 1991 Page Three

All items admitted and noticed at the December 12, 1989 and the December 11, 1990, meetings.

For convenience of recollection, I have copied the two Colorado Motions from 1990, to-wit:

#### FIRST:

"Whereas Condition number two of the five Conditions of the State of Kansas to the Trinidad Project Operating Principles provides that any subsequent amendment of the Operating Principles should be subject to review and approval of the same interests as provided for in the original procedure; and

Whereas Condition number four of the five Kansas Conditions provides that five years after beginning operation of the Trinidad Reservoir for irrigation purposes, the Operating Principles be reviewed to determine the effect, if any, the operation has had on other Colorado and Kansas water users and the Principles amended as necessary; and

Whereas the Bureau of Reclamation has conducted a review of the Operating Principles; and

Whereas the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted Proposed Amendments to the Operating Principles to the Compact Administration for review and approval; and

Whereas the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted documentation to support the Proposed Amendments.

Frank G. Cooley, Esq. November 8, 1991 Page Four

Now, therefore, the Compact Administration shall approve the Proposed Amendments if they will not materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 through 1957 as determined in the Bureau of Reclamation's prior studies."

#### SECOND:

"Whereas Condition number two of the five Conditions of the State of Kansas to the Trinidad Project Operating Principles provides that any subsequent amendment of the Operating Principles should be subject to review and approval of the same interests as provided for in the original procedure; and

Whereas Condition number four of the five Kansas Conditions provides that five years after beginning operation of the Trinidad Reservoir for irrigation purposes, the Operating Principles be reviewed to determine the effect, if any, the operation has had on other Colorado and Kansas water users and the Principles amended as necessary; and

Whereas the Bureau of Reclamation has conducted a review of the Operating Principles; and

Whereas the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted Proposed Amendments to the Operating Principles to the Compact Administration for review and approval, and

Whereas the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted documentation to support the Proposed Amendments; now, therefore, "That the Compact Administration direct the Engineering Committee to prepare a report to determine whether the Proposed Frank G. Cooley, Esq. November 8, 1991 Page Five

Amendments will materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 to 1957 as determined by the Bureau of Reclamation in prior studies and to report back to the Administration within a period of 60 days on its findings. And if the Engineering Committee cannot agree upon findings, that the Committee members will submit individual reports on their findings within a period of 75 days."

very truly yours

M.E. MacDougal

#### MEM:slj

cc: David W. Walker
Carl G. Genova
James G. Rogers
Lola Fox
Randy Hayzlett
Patricia Weiss
Wendy C. Weiss
Dennis Montgomery
David L. Pope
John B. Draper
Andrew F. Walch
Leland E. Rolfs
Purgatoire River Water
Conservancy District

WHEREAS, the Arkansas River Compact Administration approved Operating Principles for the Trinidad Dam and Reservoir Project, Colorado, and five conditions requested by Governor William H. Avery of Kansas at a meeting of the Compact Administration held on June 6, 1967; and

WHEREAS, Condition No. 2 of the five Kansas conditions to the Operating Principles provides that any subsequent amendment of the Operating Principles should be subject to review and approval of the same interests as provided for in the original procedure; and

WHEREAS, Condition No. 4 of the five Kansas conditions to the Operating Principles provides that five years after beginning operation of the Trinidad Reservoir for irrigation purposes, the Operating Principles be reviewed to determine the effect, if any, the operation has had on other Colorado and Kansas water users and the Principles amended as necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Compact Administration requested the Bureau of Reclamation to conduct a review of the Operating Principles at the annual meeting of the Compact Administration held on December 11, 1984; and

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Reclamation has conducted a review of the Operating Principles and submitted its "Final Report" to the Compact Administration at its annual meeting on December 13, 1988; and

WHEREAS, the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted Proposed Amendments to the Operating Principles to the Compact Administration for review and approval at its annual meetings in 1989, 1990, and now again this year; and

WHEREAS, the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District has submitted documentation to support the proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, the United States Bureau of Reclamation has approved the proposed amendments, which are also the subject of a pending application filed by the Purgatoire River Water Conservancy District, Case No. 88CW21, Water Division 2, Colorado:

NOW, THEREFORE, the Compact Administration approves the proposed amendments if the operation of the Trinidad Project in accordance with the proposed amendments will not materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 through 1957 as determined in the Bureau of Reclamation's prior studies.

In order to make this finding, the Compact Administration directs the Engineering Committee to determine whether the

proposed amendments will materially deplete the usable inflows to John Martin Reservoir beyond the average annual depletions during the period 1925 through 1957 as determined in the Bureau of Reclamation's prior studies and to report back to the Compact Administration within a period of 60 days on its findings. If the Engineering Committee cannot agree upon findings, the Committee members shall submit individual reports on their findings within a period of 75 days.

(RESOL-PR.WCD) (287ceb)

#### <u>EXPRNDITURES</u>

Α.	SALARIES	AND	CONTRACTUAL	SERVICES:
----	----------	-----	-------------	-----------

٠.	Treasurer	\$ 2,000	
2.	Recording Secretary	2.000	
З.	Operations Secretary	6.100	
4.	Auditor's Fees	700	
5.	Court Reporter's Fees	600	
6.	Payroll Taxes	0	
	-		\$11,400

#### B. .GAGING STATIONS:

l.	U.S. Geological Survey	
	Cooperative Agreements	
	for federal FY 1990	\$ <del>13,095</del> 13,225
2.	St. of Colo. Satellite System	\$ 9,000

#### C. OPERATING EXPENSES:

	1. Treasurer's Bond	\$ 100
1989,19904	2. 1991 Annual Report (Printing) 3.7 Telephone	<del>4.000</del> 9,000 1.000
- 1	4. Office Supplies/Postage	400
	5 Printing/Copying	300
	6. Meetings	120
	7. Travel	a
•	8. Rent	600

~		
D.	EQUIPMENT:	

υ.	SQUIFMENT:	\$	U
E.	CONTINGENCY:	1,00	<u>o</u>

#### INCOME

#### A. ASSESSMENTS:

1.	Colorado	(60%)	\$23.400
2.	Kansas	(40%)	<u> 15,600</u>

В.	INTEREST	EARNINGS:
$\sim$	MICCELLAN	TEOME .

\$39,000		
<del>-2.000</del>	Je	
0		
647 000	11	

s -6,550 11,

### EXPENDITURES FROM SURPLUS

Adopted by the Arkansas River	Compact Ad	dministration	at its
December 11, 1990, Annual Mee	ting, and	restraded ?	As December
10, 1991, Annual Meeting.	٠,		

Treasurer

Date

1878E-2

#### FY 1993-94 BUDGET July-1, 1993 - June 30, 1994)

[Draft Proposed] [11/20/91]

#### **EXPENDITURES**

	<del></del>		
A.	SALARIES AND CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:		
	<ol> <li>Treasurer</li> <li>Recording Secretary</li> <li>Operations Secretary</li> <li>Auditor's Fees</li> <li>Court Reporter's Fees</li> <li>Payroll Taxes</li> </ol>	\$ 2,000 2,000 6,100 700 600	\$11,400
В.	GAGING STATIONS:		
c.	1. U.S. Geological Survey Cooperative Agreements for federal FY 1990 2. St. of Colorado Satellite System OPERATING EXPENSES:	\$14,155 10,000	\$24,155
<b>-</b>	1. Treasurer's Bond 2. 1991 Annual Reports (Printing) 3. Telephone 4. Office Supplies/Postage 5 Printing/Copying 6. Meetings 7. Travel 8. Rent	\$ 100 4,000 1,000 400 300 150 0	. \$ 6,550
	EQUIPHENT CONTINGENCY:		\$ 0 <u>1,000</u> \$43,105
INCOME			
A.	ASSESSMENTS		
	1. Colorado (60%) 2. Kansas (40%)	\$24,000 16,000	\$40,000
В.	INTEREST EXRNINGS		500
c.	HISCELLANEOUS		0
<u>EXPENDI</u>	TURES FROM SURPLUS		\$ 2,605

Adopted by the Arkansas River Compact Administration at its December 10, 1991, Annual Meeting.

Treasurer

#### ANALYSIS OF ARCA SURPLUS FUNDS

	Actual 1/ 4/ 87-88	Actual <sup>2</sup> / <u>e/</u> 88-89	Actual 2/ 1/ 89-90	Actual <sup>4</sup> 90-91	Estimated <sup>5/</sup> 91-92	Estimated 6/ 92-93	Estimated <i>V</i> 93-94
Balance fwd.	\$57,800	\$51,300	\$46,700	\$34,890	\$29,949	\$18,649	\$12,474
Plus Income	 					<u> </u>	
Colorado	12,000	12,000	12,000	15,000	15,750	23,400	24,000
Kaneas	8,000	8,000	8,000	10,000	10,500	15,600	16,000
Interest & Misc.	3,400	4,200	3,100	2,817	3,000	1,000	500
Total	23,400	24,200	23,100	27,817	29,250	40,000	40,500
From Surplus	6,500	4,600	11,800	4,941	11,300	6,175	2,605
Less Expense	29,900	28,800	34,900	32,758	40,550	46,175	43,105
Balance	\$51,300	\$46,700	\$34,900	\$29,949	\$18,649	\$12,474	\$ 9,869

- June 30, 1988 Auditor's Report

- June 30, 1989 Auditor's Report June 30, 1990 Auditor's Report June 30, 1991 Auditor's Report 1991-1992 Budget (12/11/90 Revision)
- 1992-1993 Budget (adopted 12/11/90) (Proposed revision 11/20/90) 1993-1994 Budget (Proposed 11/20/91)
- all numbers rounded to nearest \$100

g10148.tab

Miller 11/26/91

#### ARCA ANNUAL BUDGETS AND ASSESSMENTS

EXPENDITURES	87-88 Actual (1)	88-89 Actual (1)	89-90 Actual (11	90-91 AGTUAL (1)	91-92 Budget (k)	92-93 <u>Rudoet (m)</u>	92-93 Proposed (m) Revision	93-94 <u>Proposed</u> (q)
A. SALARIES AND CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:			·			<u> </u>		
1. Treasurer - Recording Secretary (1/2 each)	5.2.000	\$ 2.000	s 2,000	\$ 3.500	5 4.000	\$ 4.000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4.000
2. Operations Secretary	2.000	6.334	6,501	3.602	6.100	6.200	6.100	6.100
3. Auditor's fees	1.224	691	700	350	700	700	700	700
. 4. Court Reporter's fees	188		451	643	600	600	600	600
SUBTOTAL	8.5.412	8 9.025	E-9.654	8.0.025	\$11,400	\$11.400	\$11.400	\$11.400
B. GAGING STATIONS!		<u> </u>		<u></u>		<b>}</b>		
1. U.S. Geological Survey Coop. Agreements	510.290(a)	510,810(b)	\$11,375(c)	\$11.830(d)	\$12,600(a)	\$13,095(£)	513.225(f)	\$14.155(g)
2. Satellite System	7.000th	7.000/11	8.000(h)	8.000(h)	B.000(h)	3.000(b)	9.000751	10.000(b)
SUBTOTAL	\$17.290	\$17.810	819,375	\$19.830	620.600	622.095	622,225	824.155
C. OPERATING EXPENSES:	[	<u> </u>		<u></u>		<u> </u>	 	<u></u>
1. Treasurer's Rond	5 100	5 100	S100	5_100	5 100	s 100	\$ 100	<u>s_100</u>
2. Annual Report (Printing)	3.263	<u> </u>	3.678(1)	2.557(1)	5.000(n)	4,000(0)	9.000(0)	4,000(2)
3. Telephone	867	790	749	1.071	1.000	1.000	1.000	1,000
4. Office Supplies/Postage	220	197	159	174	400	400	400	400
5. Printing/Copying	160	165	321	132	300	300	300	300
6. Hestings & Travel		106	239	199	150	150	150	150
7. RENT	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
SUBTOTAL	8 5.210	8 1.95B	8 5 846	6.4.833	1 7.550	6.550	511,550	£ 6,550
D. EQUIPMENT	5 1.984	s 0_	3 0	<u> </u>	s o	s o	<u>s</u>	50
E. CONTINGENCIES	5 0	s o	5 0	5 0	5 1.000	\$ 1.000	5 1.000	5 1.000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	529.896	\$28,793	634,670	632.758	\$40.550	641.045	546.175	543.105
INCOME		<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
A. ASSESSMENTS							<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>
COLORADO	512,000	\$12,000	512,000	\$15,000	515.750	523.400	523,400	524.000
KANSAS	B,000	8.000	8,000	10.000	10.500	15.600	15.600	16,000
SUBTOTAL	\$20.000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$26,250	\$39,000	639.000	540.000
B INTEREST EARNINGS & MISCELLANEOUS	5 3.366	5 4, 181	5 3.075	5 2.817	5 3.000	5 2,000	5 1.000	\$ 500
TOTAL INCOME	\$23.366	524.184	823,075	\$27.817	829.250	841.000	\$40,000	\$40,500
ETPENDITURES FROM SURPLUS	\$ 6.530	\$ 4.609	611.795	\$ 4.941	\$11,300	8 45	5 6.175	6 2,605
(FRET RELEVANDE TOTAL NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE	<u> </u>	<del></del>	كالمناز أراف أكادون		سيبسا البادي	سريان سيب	الوارط المدالين والمدالك عورب	

## FY 1991-92 BUDGET (July 1, 1991 - June 30, 1992)

#### EXPENDITURES

A.	SALARIES	AND	CONTRACTUAL	SERVICES:
			CONTRACTORD	

<u>.</u> .	Treasurer	\$ 2,000	
2.	Recording Secretary	2,000	
3.	Operations Secretary	6,100	
4.	Auditor's Fees	. 700	
5.	Court Reporter's Fees	600	
6.	Payroll Taxes	0	
	•	<del></del>	\$11,400

#### B. GAGING STATIONS:

٠.	U.S. Geological Survey	
	Cooperative Agreements	
	for federal PY 1990	\$12,600
2.	St. of Colo. Satellite System	8,000

#### C. OPERATING EXPENSES:

1.	Treasurer's Bond	\$ 100
2.	1989-90 Annual Report (Printing)	5,000
3.	Telephone	1,000
4.	Office Supplies/Postage	400
5	Printing/Copying	300
6.	Meetings	150
7.	Travel	0
8.	Rent	600

D.	EOUIPMENT:			\$ ٥

E.	CONTINGENCY:	-	1,000

#### INCOME

#### A. ASSESSMENTS:

	1.	Colorado	(60%)	\$15,750	
	2.	Kansas	(40%)	10,500	
		•			\$26,250
В,		EREST EARNI			3.000
C.	MIS	CELLANEOUS:			0
				• •	\$29,250

#### EXPENDITURES FROM SURPLUS

First adopted by the Arkansas River Compact Administration at its December 12, 1989, Annual Meeting, and revised at its December 11, 1990 Annual Meeting.

Treasurer Date

1878E-4

\$11,300

\$20,600

\$ 7.550

\$40,550

## FY 1992-93 BUDGET (July 1, 1992 - June 30, 1993)

A.	SAL	ARIES AND CO	ONTRACTUAL	SERVICES:		
		Treasurer	_		\$ 2,000	
	Z.	Recording S	Secretary		2,000	
		Operations			6,100	
		Auditor's l		-	700 600	
•		Court Report Payroll Tax		<b>S</b>	0	
		. talinin is	res			\$11,400
B. G	AGIN	G STATIONS:				
	1.	U.S. Geolog Cooperative for federal	e Agreemen		<b>\$13.</b> 095	
	2.	St. of Col	··	te System	\$ 9,000	••
c.	OPE	RATING EXPE	NSES:			\$22,095
	1.	Treasurer':	s Bond		\$ 100	
		1991 Annua	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Printing)	4,000	
		Telephone	• '		1,000	
		Office Sup		age	400	
		Printing/C	opying		300	
		Meetings	•		150	
		Travel '			0	
•	8.	Rent			600	\$ 6.550
D.	EQU	IPMENT:			•	\$ 0
	_				· -	•
E.	CON	TINGENCY:				1,000
÷						\$41,045
INCOME		· .				
λ.	ASS	ESSMENTS:				
	1.	Colorado	(60%)	\$23,400		
	2.	Kansas	(40%)	<u>15,600</u>		\$39,000
В.	TNT	EREST EARNI	NGS :	•		2,000
č.		CELLANEOUS:				2,000
						\$41,000
EXPENDI	TURE	S FROM SURP	<u>Lus</u>			\$ 45
3405004	<u> </u>	sha Bekanna	o Diwar Co		stration at i	· _
	עם .	LUE ALKANSA	9 WIAGI CÓ.	mbacr yomini	scration at 1	LS

Date

1878E-2

## STATE OF COLORADO

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD Department of Natural Resources 721 State Centennial Building 1313 Sherman Street

Denver, Colorado 80203 Phone: (303) 866-3441

#### MEMORANDUM



Roy Romer Governor David W. Walker Director

TO:

Chairman and Members

Arkansas River Compact Administration

FROM:

E.I. Jenesok

ScinfaEIJ

DATE:

November 21, 1991

SUBJECT:

**Budget Matters** 

The following actions need to be taken at the annual meeting:

- 1. Review FY 91-92 expenditures to date to see if we are in line with the budget (the FY 91-92 budget is enclosed),
- 2. Review and revise, if needed, the previously adopted FY 92-93 budget. The budget adopted on December 11, 1990 and a proposed first revision for your consideration are enclosed.
- 3. Adopt an FY 93-94 budget (draft proposed budget enclosed). and
- 4. Authorized the USGS cooperative agreements for federal FY 92, these being payable from the Administration's FY 92-93 budget (i.e., USGS bills us in August/September 1992).

I have also enclosed an analysis of future surplus funds.

EU/SM/gl

Enclosures: as stated

cc: Operations secretary (w/enc.) (Witte)
Recording secretary (w/enc.) (Carr)

# December 10, 1991

			·
	Frank 6. Cooley C	GIMN. ARCAL	Meder, Co.
	- H		akin, ks
	170c 4. 3701		
	David L. Popl men	for ARCA I	opula WS.
·		usel for Kausas	¥ .
	Brent E. Sproke Engr	Consultant, KS	Denver co
			Topeka, Ka.
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	SSA /DWA	LANENC 174
·			Dermis Ks
			And Ciny
	MARIK RUDE KSMA	- DWR	GAADEN CITY
	Bernice CARR ARCA	Recording Sec	Lamar_
<del></del>	Sulianne MCraise Pri	2 RWCD	Colo Springs
<del></del>	Sandy Mac Augali Phi	a RWCD	Colo Sarings
			TRUMOR
		7 🗻	Trinidad, CO
	1. / <del>2</del> · -		Timbal Co
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Pueblo co
	Dit Kreiner	a of Engineer	Albumenue Din
	Detalo 69/1905 11		Albuqueque alm
	Jorry Sullaway "	1 Jo	hn Marti Tan
	LAURENCE JOHNSON		LA Just A. Polo
	Ton SIMPSON SEC	CINCD	REBIN CO.
	Paul Springer Fort	Exm Canal Co Ja	Cher Co
<del></del>	Ed Blackborn "	1, 1	es Arimas
	Se Clino 11	11 4	tey
	(Ray Dura Purg Rw	CD Tru	meld co-
······	JAMES FERNANDEZ CITYO	FTRINIOND TRI	NIDAD, Co.
	Gaul Frank am	ity Hor	lly lo
<del></del> ;	Thank Melenthi Co		OBB
	Steve Malott CA	+liu Sw	12 Co 08/050
_ <u></u>	John DeForest Am	ity Bris	1-2 C-20.
		7/6	mar Cons
	Thuck Roberts Colo.	D.v. y Wtr Res.	Rue 10
~		Dest 67, LAWMA	

Dec. 10, 1991

A COLOR OF THE STATE OF THE STA

1336

	William Howland	Colo Div. Data osavo,	as Dumas Co
	Dale Durab		
	Curtis & Sour	U.S. 65 - The Diet	
	Steve Miller		
	Danny Margues	Colo Div of Worer Resources	
	Ston Jacker	a state	puebla co
1	David W Rollains	Stole of Colombo	Demis, co
	DAVID L. HARRISON	CITY OF TRINIDAD	BOULDER, CO
	Ti /	Colorado State Engran Offer	u Down
	Steven I. Withe		Pueblo
		Th.	
	James & Royer	co com me	her
	V Cart So Duna	Co Coment me	
	Divid W. Wallen	Colorado Nater (	
	Carl Bentrup	Deerfleld Ks	
	David Bren	GARDEN SITY KS	
	Oliver Hines	Coolidge, Tis.	
	-  -  -	arte.	
 		····	
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	i .		
	:		
	·		<del></del>
		<u></u>	
	<u> </u>		
		edit: 	- <u>-</u>
		-	
<del></del>	·	E	
<del> </del>			